## Minutes of the 488<sup>th</sup> meeting of the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee held on 16<sup>th</sup> September 2022 through Video Conference (VC) on National Informatics Centre (NIC).

In the wake of recent crisis of COVID-19, the agenda of the present meeting was mailed to expert Committee in advance and a Video conference meeting on NIC was organised in this regard on 16/09/2022 at 13.30 hrs.

The 488<sup>th</sup> meeting of the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) was held online by Video conferencing on 16<sup>th</sup> September 2022 at 13.30 hrs. Following members joined the meeting:

Shri Akshay Kumar Saxena, Chairman, SEAC
Dr. S. C. Pant, Vice Chairman, SEAC
Shri D. C. Chaudhari, Member, SEAC
Shri J. K. Vyas, Member, SEAC
Shri Anand Zinzala, Member, SEAC
Shri B. M. Tailor, Member, SEAC
Shri D. M. Thaker, Secretary, SEAC

The cases which were deferred by SEIAA for missing information in the EC/ TOR proposals as mentioned in respective minutes. Respective proponent has submitted the compliance details many of which are not in the original proposal or in the minutes of SEAC. SEIAA, Gujarat has deferred the cases and asked to give comments of SEAC on resubmission of the details by proponent.

The Committee reconsidered the missing information resubmitted by PP through email, examined and gave comments on each case are as mentioned below:

		1	T =====			T	T =
Sr No	Proposal No	Name of Project	SEIAA Meeting No & Date	Re	eason Of Defer	Industries Reply received w.r.t deferred	Comments SEAC:
1.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 69808/2020	M/s. Neema Chemicals Plot No 218,219/2 and 3, Ph II, GIDC, Naroda, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 382330	847 <sup>th</sup> Meeting dated: 16.07.2022	3.	generation in disposal in table D-3 is not matched with table D5a verify for that.	submitted by PP to SEAC through email dated: 16.09.2022	The resubmission of PP of information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.  After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.
2.	SIA/GJ/I ND3/245 949/202 1	M/S. PY Genrone Intermediates (P) Limited Plot No.C/74 GIDC,Industrial Estate Saykha, Ta: Vagra, Dist.: - Bharuch, Gujarat.	854 <sup>th</sup> Meeting dated: 28.07.2022		Process of amination and chlorination were not given for safety measures in the Hazardous Chemical process Environmental Monitoring programme was not proposed in the EMP.	Information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEAC through email dated: 14.09.2022 which is attached herewith.	The resubmission of PP of information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.  After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental

						Clearance with condition
						mentioned in earlier
						Recommendation Letter
						forwarded from SEAC.
3.	SIA/GJ/IND3/	M/s. Maakrupa	854 <sup>th</sup>	1. Chemical characteristics	Information asked by	The resubmission of PP of
	248908/2021	Pharma	Meeting	of each Hazardous	SEIAA has been	information asked by
		Plot no. 296, GIDC,	dated:	chemicals was not given.	submitted by PP to	SEIAA has been examined
		Pandesara, Taluka:	28.07.2022	2. Safety measures given for	SEAC through email	by SEAC and found
		Chorasi, District: Surat-		condensation and	dated: 13.09.2022	satisfactory.
		394220, Gujarat.		reduction processes;	which is attached	_
		·		justification was not given.	herewith.	After detailed
				<ol><li>In process gas emission</li></ol>		discussion, Committee
				sulphonation, amination		unanimously decided to
				why not given, when there		recommend the project
				is HCl, SO2, NH3.		to SEIAA, Gujarat for
				4. Environmental monitoring		grant of Environmental
				was not mentioned in the		Clearance with condition
				EMP.		mentioned in earlier
						Recommendation Letter
			41-			forwarded from SEAC.
4.	SIA/GJ/IND2/	M/s. Aims Chem & Ink	836 <sup>th</sup>	<ol> <li>Production capacity of</li> </ol>	Information asked by	The resubmission of PP of
	175517/2020	Plot No: C1B,1514,	Meeting	proposed products	SEIAA has been	information asked by
		GIDC Ankleshwar,	dated:	individual and total not	submitted by PP to	SEIAA has been examined
		Bharuch	01.07.2022	given.	SEAC through email	by SEAC and found
				2. Details of characteristic of	dated: 14.09.2022	satisfactory.
				each hazardous	which is attached	
				chemicals is not given.	herewith.	After detailed
				3. Process of Amination and		discussion, Committee
				sulphonation along with		unanimously decided to
				safety measures are not		recommend the project
				given in the		to SEIAA, Gujarat for
				recommendation letter		grant of Environmental
				into hazardous process		Clearance with condition
				table H-2, despite the		mentioned in earlier
				generation of Ammonia and Sulfor dioxide from		Recommendation Letter
						forwarded from SEAC.
				the process gas		
				<ol><li>Details of AWH</li></ol>		

5.	SIA/GJ/IND2/ 206758/2021	M/s Satv Pharmachem	863 <sup>rd</sup> Meeting	1.	monitoring is not mentioned in EMP table. If above details are not given by the project proponent with the proposal or not part of the minutes of SEAC, the proponent shall be asked to submit the above details and SEAC should reexamine the proposal and sent its recommendation.  Noise pollution control are not proposed in the EMP.	Information asked by SEIAA has been	The resubmission of PP of information asked by
		Plot No. 65 (Survey	dated:	2.	Details of Hazardous	submitted by PP to	SEIAA has been examined
		No.1341,1342&1343/A/P), Gozaria GIDC,	08.08.2022		chemical storage (In to tank / drums) with	SEAC through email dated: 27.08.2022	by SEAC and found satisfactory.
		Mahesana			characteristic and its	which is attached	Satisfactory.
		Walloodila			safety measures are not	herewith.	After detailed
					given		discussion, Committee
				3.			unanimously decided to
					processes given are not		recommend the project
					co-related particular		to SEIAA, Gujarat for
					process propose emission.		grant of Environmental Clearance with condition
				4.	In process emission		mentioned in earlier
					sulphonation is mentioned		Recommendation Letter
					but in safety details is not		forwarded from SEAC.
					mentioned in Hazardous		
					process table. (i.e' Table-H-2).		
				5.	Details of water		
				.	requirement, fresh, re-		
					used and total is not		
			rd		given.		
6.	SIA/GJ/IND3/	M/s. Aurisko Labs Pvt	863 <sup>rd</sup>	1.	Characteristic of each	Information asked by	The resubmission of PP of
	246182/2021	Ltd	Meeting		hazardous chemical in	SEIAA has been	information asked by

		Survey No. 216, Kadi Chhatral Road, Dhanot Village, Kalol	dated: 08.08.2022		storage is not given along with safety measures. Noise pollution control are not proposed in the EMP. Details of Sulphonation, Nitration and safety measures are not given although emissions of NO2, S02 are noticed in reaction vessels.	submitted by PP to SEAC through email dated: 15.09.2022 which is attached herewith.	SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.  After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter
7.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 73784/2018	M/s Shreyas Industries Plot No. 283/2/B,2nd Phase, GIDC, Vapi, Pardi, Valsad.	865 <sup>th</sup> Meeting dated: 10.08.2022	2.	Noise pollution control measures are not proposed in the EMP Details of sulphonation & Amination is not given in hazardous process and safety details. (Table H-2) Details of Nitration process is given in hazardous process and safety details but such process is not proposed in process emission.	Information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEAC through email dated: 25.08.2022 which is attached herewith.	The resubmission of PP of information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.  After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.
8.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 228521/2021	M/s. Jay Jalaram Enterprise Plot No :-A- 1/2402/02,A-1/2403/1, Gidc Estate, Ankleshwar,Dist:- Bharuch	412 <sup>th</sup> Meeting dated: 02.05.2022	1.	In 2 raw of process gas emission table Two stage alkali scrubber is proposed as Air Pollution Control Device, which is not justify as Ammonia cannot absorb in the Alkali media, please	Information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEAC through email dated: 16.09.2022 which is attached herewith.	The resubmission of PP of information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.  After detailed discussion, Committee

9.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 240988/2021	M/s Kalichem Private Limited Plot 436/2, Village-Moxi, Taluka-Savli, Vadodara,	873 <sup>rd</sup> Meeting dated: 29.08.2022	EMP. In process emission detail HCl and NH3 mentioned as a pollutant, but type of hazardous process in table II-2 detail not given (mentioned as NONE). Characteristics of chemicals in storage and safety measures are not been stated and corelated. Check through end dated: 03.09.2022 which is attacherewith.	to SEIAA has been examined hail by SEAC and found satisfactory.
10.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246194/2021	M/s. Windson Chemical Pvt. Ltd.	873 <sup>rd</sup> Meeting	given.  Details of sulphonation.  amination process and  SEIAA has b	by The resubmission of PP of een information asked by
		Block/Survey 1834/Paiki 1, Village - Ali-pore, Taluka – Chikhali, District – Navsari	dated: 29.08.2022	safety measures are not given in table H-2 (type of hazardous process and its safety measures), as SO:  safety measures are not submitted by PP SEAC through end dated: 13.09.2022 which is attactive at the safety measures are not submitted by PP SEAC through end dated: 13.09.2022	to SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.
				is mentioned as a herewith.  pollutant in process gas emission in the reaction vessel no. 2	After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project

11. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246473/2021    M/S.Tattva Biopharma Private Limited Survey No. 1797 (Old Sur							
11. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246473/2021 Private Limited Survey No. 1797 (Old Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana Private Limited Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Metail in hazardous pro process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC Process are submitted by Proto SEIAA has been examined information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by Proto SEIAA has been examined Process emission asked by SEIAA has been examined by Proto SEIAA has been examined SIA has been examined by Proto SEIAA has been examined by Proto SEIAA has been examined SIA has been examined by Proto SEIAA has been examined SIA has been examined by Proto SEIAA has been examined SIA has been examined by Proto SEIAA has been examined by Proto SIA has been examined by Proto SIA has been examin							
11. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246473/2021 M/s.Tattva Biopharma Private Limited Survey No. 1797 (Old Survey No. 1797 (Old Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 M/s R.R. Chem STAC.  13. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 M/s R.R. Chem STAC.  14. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 M/s R.R. Chem STAC.  15. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 M/s R.R. Chem STAC.  15. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 M/s R.R. Chem STAC.  16. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 M/s R.R. Chem STAC.  17. Details of noise control measures is not given in EMP SEIAA has been submitted by PP to sellad has been submitted by sellad has been sub							
11. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246473/2021  SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246873/2021  Nesting dated: 29.08.2022  Ammonia and SO: are mentioned as a pollutant in process emission, but detail in hazardous pro process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  Nestrativa Biopharma Private Limited Survey No. 1797 (Old Survey No. 1797 (Old Survey No. 184/P7), At. Index detailed in hazardous proprocess table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  Nestrative Mesting After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.							
11. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246473/2021 Private Limited Survey No. 1797 (Old Survey							mentioned in earlier
11. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246473/2021							Recommendation Letter
Private Limited Survey No. 1797 (Old Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana  Meeting dated: 29.08.2022  Ammonia and SO: are mentioned as a pollutant in process emission, but detail in hazardous pro process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  Mesana  Meeting dated: 29.08.2022  Ammonia and SO: are mentioned as a pollutant in process emission, but detail in hazardous pro process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process emission. 3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  11. Details of AWH monitoring and noise pollution control measures  SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined scrub SEIAA has been examined statisfactory.  Mfer detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.							forwarded from SEAC.
Survey No. 1797 (Old Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana  Selace in process emission, but detail in hazardous proprocess table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process emission.  In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant in process emission.  In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  SIA/GJ/IND3/ Plot No 407 to 410 & 415 to 418, GIDC  Ammonia and SO: are mentioned as a pollutant in process emission, but detail in hazardous proprocess table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process emission.  In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  Information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEIAA has been information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEIAA has been information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEIAA has been examine	11.	SIA/GJ/IND3/	M/s.Tattva Biopharma	873 <sup>rd</sup>	<ol> <li>Details of noise control</li> </ol>	Information asked by	The resubmission of PP of
Survey No. 814/P7), At. Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana  29.08.2022  2. Ammonia and SO: are mentioned as a pollutant in process emission, but detail in hazardous proprocess table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  M/s R.R. Chem Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  Ammonia and SO: are mentioned as a pollutant in process emission, but detail in hazardous proposed as pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  1. Details of nitration and chrolination process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  1. Details of AMPH monitoring and noise pollution control measures  SEAC through email dated: 15.09.2022 which is attached herewith.  After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.		246473/2021	Private Limited	Meeting	measures is not given in	SEIAA has been	information asked by
Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist. Mehsana    Mehsana			Survey No. 1797 (Old	dated:	EMP	submitted by PP to	SEIAA has been examined
in process emission, but detail in hazardous pro process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission amonismentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  M/s R.R. Chem Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  In process emission, but detail in hazardous proprocess table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of herewith.  Which is attached herewith.  After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.  13. In process emission asked by SEIAA has been information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined			Survey No. 814/P7), At.	29.08.2022	2. Ammonia and SO: are	SEAC through email	by SEAC and found
detail in hazardous pro process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  Metail in hazardous pro process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination and chrolination and chrolination and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting dated:  Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting dated:  Plot No 407 to 410 & golution control measures submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined			Indrad, Ta. Kadi, Dist.		mentioned as a pollutant		
process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission amentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/246811/2021  Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  Process table H-2 not given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission amentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  1. Details of AWH monitoring and noise pollution control measures submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined			Mehsana		in process emission, but	which is attached	
given for amination and sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021					detail in hazardous pro	herewith.	After detailed
sulphonation. Details of nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  Negret of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.  Information asked by SEIAA has been information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined					process table H-2 not		discussion, Committee
nitration and chrolination process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  Nitration and chrolination process egiven but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  N/s R.R. Chem Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  Neeting 1					given for amination and		unanimously decided to
process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021  M/s R.R. Chem Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC  Meeting dated:  Process are given but, it is not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  1. Details of AWH monitoring and noise pollution control measures submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined					sulphonation. Details of		recommend the project
not mentioned in process emission.  3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021					nitration and chrolination		to SEIAA, Gujarat for
emission. 3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting Hormation All Details of AWH Plot No 407 to 410 & All Details of AWH Plot No 407 to 410 & All Details of AWH Plot No 407 to 410 & All Details of AWH Plot No 407 to 410 & All Details of AWH Poll No 407 to 410 & All Details of AWH Plot No 407 to 410 & All Details of AWH Poll No 407 to 410 & All De					process are given but, it is		grant of Environmental
3. In process emission ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021					not mentioned in process		Clearance with condition
ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting 2468 Meeting 415 to 418, GIDC Application and noise pollution control measures ammonia mentioned as a pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  1. Details of AWH Information asked by SEIAA has been information asked by pollution control measures submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined					emission.		mentioned in earlier
pollutant and caustic and water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting A15 to 418, GIDC Meeting A15 to 418,					3. In process emission		Recommendation Letter
water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting and noise SEIAA has been information asked by 415 to 418, GIDC water scrubber proposed as APCM, is it adequate?  1. Details of AWH Information asked by SEIAA has been information asked by pollution control measures submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined					ammonia mentioned as a		forwarded from SEAC.
12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting Meeting and noise APCM, is it adequate?  13. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting Meeting Application control measures SEIAA has been information asked by A15 to 418, GIDC Application control measures Submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined					pollutant and caustic and		
12. SIA/GJ/IND3/ 246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting dated: Details of AWH monitoring and noise SEIAA has been information asked by SEIAA has been information asked by SEIAA has been examined					water scrubber proposed		
246811/2021 Plot No 407 to 410 & Meeting monitoring and noise SEIAA has been information asked by 415 to 418, GIDC dated: pollution control measures submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined					as APCM, is it adequate?		
415 to 418, GIDC dated: pollution control measures submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examined	12.	SIA/GJ/IND3/	M/s R.R. Chem	873 <sup>rd</sup>		Information asked by	The resubmission of PP of
		246811/2021	Plot No 407 to 410 &	Meeting	monitoring and noise	SEIAA has been	information asked by
Bamanbore, Tal Chotila,   29.08.2022   are not given in the EMP   SEAC through email   by SEAC and found			415 to 418, GIDC	dated:	pollution control measures	submitted by PP to	SEIAA has been examined
			Bamanbore, Tal Chotila,	29.08.2022	are not given in the EMP	SEAC through email	by SEAC and found
Dist: Surendranagar 2. Details of characteristics dated: 10.09.2022 satisfactory.			Dist: Surendranagar		2. Details of characteristics	dated: 10.09.2022	satisfactory.
of each hazardous which is attached					of each hazardous	which is attached	
chemicals along with herewith. After detailed					chemicals along with	herewith.	After detailed
safety measures is not discussion, Committee					safety measures is not		discussion, Committee
given <u>unanimously decided to</u>							
3. Details of amination recommend the project					3. Details of amination		
process along with safety to SEIAA, Gujarat for					process along with safety		
measures is not given. grant of Environmental							
							Clearance with condition

earlier Letter AC. of PP of d by amined
AC. of PP of d by
of PP of d by
d by
,
amined
a
found
<u>letailed</u>
nmittee
ded to
<u>project</u>
at for
mental
<u>ndition</u>
earlier
Letter
AC.
of PP of
,
amined
found
امدا:مدما
<u>letailed</u> nmittee
<u>ded to</u> project
at for
mental
ndition
HUHHUH
earlier
earlier Letter
earlier
o l E c e x

				scrubber?  5. Details of amination, sulphonation processes not mentioned in hazardous process table H-2.	
15.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 248572/2021	M/s. Orrery Salt Enterprise S. No. 60/2, P3p2, Bhavnagar Dholera Highway,Bhavnagar	874 <sup>th</sup> Meeting dated: 30.08.2022	cost mentioned 5.74 dated: 16.09.2022 which is attached breakup is mentioned as 514.56 crores. Please clarify.  3. Details of solvent recovery of each solvent in Format is not given  4. Details of amination, sulphonation is not given  dated: 16.09.2022 which is attached herewith.  After details of discussion, Communanimously decider recommend the protosular grant of Environment Clearance with condimentioned in each solvent mentioned in each solvent mention	by nined ound ailed ittee d to piect for ental ition arlier etter
16.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 248577/2021	M/s. Avyukt Chem LLP Survey No. 354/Paiki 3/ Paiki 2 , Village: Aamran, Tal: Morbi, District: Morbi	874 <sup>th</sup> Meeting dated: 30.08.2022	<ul> <li>1. Details of noise pollution control measures is not given in EMP.</li> <li>2. Details of sulphonation is not given in table H-2, as SO2, HCL and Cl2 mentioned as a probable pollutant fl-om reaction vessel no. 2</li> <li>1. Information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation by SEAC and formation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation by SEAC and formation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked by SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked by Information asked by Information asked by Information asked by Information asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been satisfactory.</li> <li>Information asked by Information asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has been examentation asked submitted by PP to SEIAA has be</li></ul>	by nined ound ailed ittee d to piect for ental

17.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 249219/2021	M/s. Quantum Life Science	874 <sup>th</sup> Meeting	1.	Details of noise pollution control measures is not	Information asked by SEIAA has been	Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.  The resubmission of PP of information asked by
		Plot No. 3207, Phase- III, GIDC, Chhatral, Kalol, Gandhinagar- 382779	dated: 30.08.2022	2.	given in the EMP Characteristics of each hazardous chemical storage into tank/drum are not given along with	submitted by PP to SEAC through email dated: 07.09.2022 which is attached herewith.	SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.  After detailed
				3.	safety measures. Type of hazardous processes in table II-2 mentioned not applicable even though HCl and NH3 are mentioned as probable pollutant from the reaction vessels.	norewith.	discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier
18.	SIA/GJ/IND3/ 64482/2021	M/s. Aarti Industries Limited (Unit I) Plot No: Z/103/H, Vill: Dahej SEZ, Ta: Vagra, Dist: Bharuch	874 <sup>th</sup> Meeting dated: 30.08.2022	1.	Please clarify  Please justify water consumption and waste water generation are much increased with compare to production profile. as total production	Information asked by SEIAA has been submitted by PP to SEAC through email dated: 13.09.2022 which is attached	Recommendation Letter forwarded from SEAC.  The resubmission of PP of information asked by SEIAA has been examined by SEAC and found satisfactory.
				3.	capacity is decreased.  Details of each hazardous chemical storage and characteristics along with safety measures not given,  Details of hazardous processes is not given  Details of ETP/STP	herewith.	After detailed discussion, Committee unanimously decided to recommend the project to SEIAA, Gujarat for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition mentioned in earlier Recommendation Letter
19.	SIA/GJ/IND3/	M/s MEGA CHEM	1004 <sup>th</sup>		capacity along with adequacy is not given  Details of noise pollution	Information asked by	forwarded from SEAC.  The resubmission of PP of
13.	64035/2021	PRODUCT Plot No: C - 185/1 +	Meeting dated:	1.	control measures is not given in EMP.	SEIAA has been submitted by PP to	information asked by SEIAA has been examined

488th meeting of SEAC-Gujarat, Dated 16.09.2022

185/2 GIDC Saykha Industrial Estate, Village:- Saykha , Ta:-	01.09.2022	2.	Details of chlorination and hydrogenation along with safety measures are not		15.09	ugh email 0.2022 attached	by SEAC satisfactory.	and	found
Vagra, Dist.:- Bharuch			proposed in the	herew	th.		After		etailed
			recommendation letter in				discussion,		<u>nmittee</u>
			table H-2.				unanimously	/ deci	ded to
							recommend	the	<u>project</u>
							to SEIAA,	Gujar	at for
							grant of E	nviron	mental
							Clearance w	ith co	<u>ndition</u>
							mentioned	in	<u>earlier</u>
							Recommend	ation	Letter
							forwarded from	om SE	AC.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

\*\*\*

## Minutes approved by:

1.	Shri Akshay Kumar Saxena, Chairman, SEAC	
2.	Dr. S. C. Pant, Vice Chairman, SEAC	
3.	Shri D. C. Chaudhari, Member, SEAC	
4.	Shri J. K. Vyas, Member, SEAC	
5.	Shri Anand Zinzala, Member, SEAC	
6.	Shri B. M. Tailor, Member, SEAC	
7.	Shri D. M. Thaker, Secretary, SEAC	