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| Rating Information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Price (Rs) | 412 |
| Target Price (Rs) | 541 |
| Target Date | 30th Jun'19 |
| Target Set On | 15th Jan'18 |
| Implied yrs of growth (DCF) | 15 |
| Fair Value (DCF) | 543 |
| Fair Value (DDM) | 49 |
| Ind Benchmark | SPBSMIP |
| Model Portfolio Position | NA |
| Stock Information |  |
| Market Cap (Rs Mn) | 10,268 |
| Free Float (\%) | 39.78 \% |
| 52 Wk H/L (Rs) | 484/345 |
| Avg Daily Volume (1yr) | 56,007 |
| Avg Daily Value (Rs Mn) | 23 |
| Equity Cap (Rs Mn) | 252 |
| Face Value (Rs) | 10 |
| Bloomberg Code | SPAL IN |
| Ownership Recent | $3 \mathrm{M} \quad 12 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Promoters 60.2\% | 0.0\% 0.1 \% |
| DII $\quad 9.0$ \% | 1.2\% 2.7 \% |
| FII 7.4\% | 0.0\% 1.6\% |
| Public 23.4\% | -1.2\% -4.5\% |
| Price \% 1M | $3 \mathrm{M} \quad 12 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Absolute $\quad 2.3$ \% | 0.7\% 16.9\% |
| Vs Industry - 4.8 \% | -12.9\% -26.6 \% |
| Kitex  <br> Garments 8.3 \% | 44.7 \% 3.9 \% |
| - - | - - |
| Consolidated Quarterly EPS forecast |  |
| Rs/Share 1Q | 2Q 3Q 4Q |
| EPS (17A) 6.5 | $\begin{array}{lll}6.4 & 5.6\end{array}$ |
| EPS (18E) 4.4 | $3.9 \quad 5.8 \quad 6.1$ |

## S.P. Apparels Ltd. Initiating Note <br> Regular Coverage <br> Absolute : LONG <br> Relative : Overweight <br> 22\% ATR in 17 Months

## Knitting the right strategy - Initiate with LONG

The global childrenswear market is characterized by its ever-increasing demand and stringent safety and quality norms. Though India's overall textile export growth has slowed down, it remains a preferred childrenswear supplier due to its strict adherence to compliance requirements. S.P. Apparels Ltd (SPAL), India's second largest childrenswear manufacturer known for its reliability, consistency and quality, is well-poised in this scenario. With an enviable client base and on-going backward integration and expansion, SPAL is set to deliver $10 \% / 18 \%$ revenue/PAT CAGR, and $\sim 260 / 300$ bps expansion in its EBITDA margins/core ROIC over FY17-20E. We initiate coverage on the stock with LONG rating and a Jun' 19 TP of Rs 541.

Huge opportunities in childrenswear; capacity addition, rigorous retail outlet expansion to drive $10 \%$ revenue CAGR: The childrenswear industry is marked by stringent quality regulations and ever-increasing demand. SPAL, with more than two decades of operations and strict adherence to quality norms and regulations, has carved a space for itself. Expansion of the customer wallet share backed by incremental capacity addition, new customer additions and their gradual scale-up, along with strong retail outlet expansion will drive $10 \%$ revenue CAGR over FY1720E.

Backward integration, higher utilization to push up margins; expect EBITDA/PAT CAGR of 16\%/18\% over FY17-20E: Fully backward-integrated production will allow SPAL to have better control over costs while removing any bottlenecks that restricted margin expansion in the past. Additionally, increasing the share of basic garments in the sales mix will improve capacity utilization, thus aiding margins. We expect EBITDA/PAT to grow at a CAGR of $16 \% / 18 \%$ over FY17-20E.

Strict WC control, stable FCF generation to improve return ratios: Fully integrated production will significantly reduce third-party dependence resulting in strict control over working capital. With stable working capital requirements, IPO funded capex and strong operating cash-flows, SPAL should generate FCFF of $\sim$ Rs 1.4 bn over the next three years. Strong margin expansion and stable WC turns should improve core ROIC by $\sim 300 b p s$ to $\sim 15 \%$ over FY17-FY20E.

Initiate with LONG, Jun'19 TP of Rs 541: SPAL currently trades at 14x Mar'19 EPS, at a $\sim 22 \%$ discount to Kitex which trades at $18 x$. We expect a re-rating for the stock given strong EPS CAGR of $18 \%$ and improvement in returns ratios over FY17-FY20E. We initiate coverage on SPAL with TP of Rs 541 set at a $17 x$ Jun'19 EPS of Rs 32.

Textiles

| Consolidated Financials |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rs. Mn YE Mar | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E |
| Sales | 6,357 | 6,310 | 7,238 | 8,500 |
| EBITDA | 1,073 | 949 | 1,278 | 1,655 |
| Depreciation | 208 | 228 | 257 | 274 |
| Interest Expense | 135 | 195 | 147 | 129 |
| Other Income | 210 | 244 | 217 | 255 |
| Reported PAT | 619 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 |
| Recurring PAT | 619 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 |
| Total Equity | 3,831 | 4,310 | 4,996 | 5,945 |
| Gross Debt | 1,660 | 1,620 | 1,580 | 1,540 |
| Cash | 934 | 1,079 | 1,134 | 1,469 |
| Rs. Mn YE Mar | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E |
| Earnings | 24.6 | 20.2 | 29.1 | 40.1 |
| Book Value | 152 | 171 | 199 | 236 |
| Dividends | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| FCFF | -35.2 | 16.0 | 13.4 | 24.7 |
| P/E (x) | 16.6 | 20.2 | 14.0 | 10.2 |
| P/B (x) | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| EV/EBITDA (x) | 10.7 | 11.8 | 8.6 | 6.4 |
| ROE (\%) | $24 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Core ROIC (\%) | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| EBITDA Margin (\%) | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Net Margin (\%) | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

## Company Snapshot

How we differ from Consensus

|  | - | Equirus | Consensus | \% Diff | Comment |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| EPS | FY18E | 20.2 | 23.2 | $-13 \%$ | We expect muted FY18 in terms of |  |
|  | FY19E | 29.1 | 29.5 | $-1 \%$ | revenues and margins. FY19 to see <br> strong margin expansion resulting from |  |
|  | FY18E | 6,310 | 6,955 | $-9 \%$ | backward integration |  |
| PAT | FY19E | 7,238 | 7,918 | $-9 \%$ |  |  |
|  | FY18E | 509 | 593 | $-14 \%$ |  |  |

## Our Key Investment arguments:

- Huge market opportunities in infant garmenting, strong capacity addition, and retail outlets expansion to drive $10 \%$ revenue CAGR
- Margins to improve on vertically integrated production and increase in capacity utilization, expect EBITDA/PAT CAGR of $16 \% / 18 \%$ over FY17-20E
- Strict control over working capital and stable FCFF generation to improve return ratios.

Key Assumptions:

| Particulars | FY17 | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Garment Revenues (In Rs Mn) | 5,955 | 5,754 | 6,507 | 7,594 |
| YoY Growth | $19 \%$ | $-3 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Retail Revenues (In Rs Mn) | 568 | 717 | 913 | 1,119 |
| YoY Growth | $64 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Number of pieces exported | 47.9 | 49.1 | 59.0 | 70.8 |
| Export Realization per piece (Rs) | 100 | 99 | 94 | 92 |

Risk to Our View
Prolonged impact of Brexit, Delay in pickup of orders from new as well as existing customers, forex volatility and heavy cotton price fluctuations

## Key Triggers

| $\bullet$ | Strong order inflow from existing and new customers along with margin expansion. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sensitivity to Key Variables | \% Change | \% Impact on EPS |
| Revenue | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| EBITDAM | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| - | - | - |


| DCF Valuations \& Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rf | Beta | Ke | Term. Growth | Debt/IC in Term. Yr |  |  |  |
| $6.7 \%$ | 1.0 | $12.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |  |  |  |


| - | FY18E | FY19E | FY20-22E | FY23-27E | FY28-32E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales Growth | $-1 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| NOPAT Margin | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| IC Turnover | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.40 | 1.38 | 1.28 |
| RoIC | $9.2 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years of strong growth | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| Valuation as on date (Rs) | 122 | 204 | 389 | 426 | 470 |
| Valuation as of Jun'19 | 141 | 236 | 449 | 492 | 543 |

Based on DCF, assuming 15 years of $11 \%$ CAGR growth and $17 \%$ average ROIC, we derive current fair value of Rs 470 and $30^{\text {th }}$ Jun'19 fair value of Rs 543.

## Company Description:

SPAL is one of the leading manufacturers and exporters of knitted garments for infants and children in India which provides end-to-end garment manufacturing from greige fabric to finished products including body suits, sleep suits, tops and bottoms. SPAL is the preferred vendor through long standing relationships with reputed international clients like TESCO, ASDA, Primark, Mothercare, etc. SPAL is also the sub-licensee to manufacture, distribute and market adult menswear products in India under the Crocodile' brand.

| Comparable valuation |  |  | Mkt Cap <br> Rs. Mn. | Price <br> Target | Target Date | EPS |  |  | P/E |  |  | $\frac{\text { BPS }}{\text { FY17A }}$ | P/B <br> FY18E | RoE |  |  | Div Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | Reco. | CMP |  |  |  | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E |  |  | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY17A | FY18E |
| SP Apparels | LONG | 409 | 10,282 | 541 | 30th Jun'19 | 24.6 | 20.2 | 29.1 | 16.6 | 20.2 | 14.0 | 152.2 | 2.4 | 24 \% | 13 \% | 16 \% | 0.1 \% | 0.2 \% |
| Kitex Garments | LONG | 311 | 20,954 | 303 | 31st Mar'18 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 17.3 | 22.3 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 68.5 | 3.9 | 22 \% | 20 \% | 21 \% | 0.5 \% | $1.0 \%$ |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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## Investment Rationale

## Favorable industry dynamics = huge market opportunities

India in best position to capitalize on China's falling apparel exports
Till 2014, China dominated the global exports market for many years mainly due to availability of cheap labor, infrastructure facilities and export-friendly government policies. However, in past few years, China has started losing its manufacturing competitiveness in the global market owing to its rising labor and energy costs.


Source: International Trade Centre (ITC), Equirus Securities

China's decelerating exports have opened up new opportunities for countries like Bangladesh, Vietnam and India, who have successfully increased their exports (Exhibit 2). While Bangladesh and Vietnam have benefitted due to cheap labor, duty free or lower tariff access to EU and US markets; they have been grappling with some fundamental challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, poor energy supplies, widespread corruption, inefficient regulatory practices, political volatility and shortage of skilled labor.

| Exhibit 2:Rising share of developing countries in apparel exports |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Export Value (US\$ bn) | Export Value (\%) | CAGR \% |  |
|  | 2016 | 2010 |  | $(2010-16)$ |
|  | 146.5 | $34.8 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| China | 33.5 | $4.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| Bangladesh | 24.7 | $2.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Vietnam | 17.0 | $3.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| India | 14.8 | $3.6 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Turkey | 4.6 | $1.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| Sri Lanka | 4.6 | $1.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Pakistan |  |  |  |  |

Source: International Trade Centre (ITC), Equirus Securities
International buyers who follow stringent quality norms, environment, labor and other social practices, prefer countries like India with a strong reputation of meeting quality/ compliance requirements and executing orders on time. Apart from this, abundant cotton availability, strong government support and presence across the textile value chain right from spinning, weaving, knitting and dyeing to garmenting, finishing and quality compliance - makes India a preferred supplier over other countries.

## Global childrenswear market to reach US\$300bn by 2019

Childrenswear market is the fastest growing category in the apparel industry mainly due to rising brand awareness for kids-wear products, and growing desire to pay a premium for better quality products with higher safety elements. In emerging economies, increasing penetration of kids-wear brands and rising willingness to spend on children are aiding market growth. Additionally, rising media exposure, increasing disposable incomes, growing peer pressure, and greater fashion and brand consciousness among children are fuelling growth further.

Childrenswear market is divided into two categories; infant and toddler-wear (0-3 years) and kids-wear ( $4-14$ years). The childrenswear market is largely immune to economic conditions and benefits from impulsive purchase decisions of adults. Also, given the tendency of children to outgrow clothes quickly, the frequent purchase of new apparels becomes an absolute necessity.

The global childrenswear market stood at US\$ 228bn in 2014, of which children's apparel ( $4-14$ years) commanded $80 \%$ and toddler apparels ( $0-3$ years) only the remaining $\sim 20 \%$.

While the market has grown at a 4.8\% CAGR between 2009 and 2014 amid improving global-economic conditions, the growth rate should touch 5.6\% CAGR between 2014 and 2019 to reach a value of US\$ 300bn in 2019.

Exhibit 3: Global children-wear market to grow at 5.6\% CAGR over 2014-2019E


Source: RHP, Equirus Securities

## Huge market opportunities marked by stringent compliance requirements

The US is the largest market for childrenswear with a market size of US\$ 58.3bn followed by China at US\$ 44.4bn. On the other hand, many European countries like Germany, UK, France and Italy lead in child apparel spending.

While the childrenswear market is very large, exporting countries need to meet stringent safety requirements - from the raw material used (mostly cotton) to colors for designs, accessories, embroidery, and buttons - to qualify for exports, particularly to the US and Europe. Mandated by governments of various developed nations, all brands and retailers have to strictly adhere to quality standards, especially in case of infant and toddlerwear.

| Exhibit 4: Safety requirements of childrenswear |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety concern | Application /Age | Potential hazards |
| Cords and Drawstrings | Garments for 0-14 year old children | Strangulation <br> entrapment |
| Flammability of $0-14$ years, but the requirements are <br> Nightwear more stringent for 0-6 months | Burning injuries, death due <br> to burns |  |
| Non-Separable Small | Children between 0-3 years | Injuries from detached <br> objects |
| Parts Children between $0-3$ years | Choking hazards |  |
| Separable Small Parts | Children between 0-3 years | Injuries from sharp objects |

Source: RHP, Equirus Securities

## Global childrenswear imports to grow at a steady pace

Global childrenswear imports increased at a 6\% CAGR, from US\$ 58bn in 2009 to US\$ 78bn in 2014. Imports are pegged to grow at a 7\% CAGR to touch US\$ 110bn in 2019. The global childrenswear market was $16.9 \%$ of the global apparel market and accounted for $16.6 \%$ of global apparel imports in 2014. Assuming the same share of childrenswear market in global apparel market and global apparel imports, the global childrenswear market should touch US\$ 439bn with global childrenswear imports scaling to US\$ 150bn in 2025E thus opening up huge opportunities for exporters like SPAL.

India among key sourcing destinations in an expanding childrenswear market
The childrenswear market in major importing countries is steadily growing amid buoyant economic conditions. Low manufacturing costs and better integrated facilities is forcing a shift in manufacturing to developing countries. China has been and still remains the largest exporter of childrenswear with a market share of $36.1 \%$, followed by Bangladesh at 6.5\% and India at 4.2\%.

India has many distinct advantages in childrenswear exports over Bangladesh and Vietnam, which have emerged strongly over the past few years (Exhibit 5).

Exhibit 5:Advantages that differentiate India from other countries


Source: Equirus Securities

## Enviable customer profile

## Serving marquee international retailers for over two decades

SPAL is the second largest childrenswear manufacturer in India and the fourth largest in the world after Wingloo (China), Gimmell (Singapore) and Kitex (India). With 23 factories spread across Tamil Nadu (TN), it supplies garments to some of the largest retail giants in the world for more than 20 years. SPAL has emerged as one of the most reliable, consistent and quality suppliers to global retailers over the years, with a well-entrenched presence in fashion garments for infants and children.

International customers of SPAL include TESCO, ASDA, Primark, Mothercare, Dunnes stores, Garan and K-Mart. While the company does not have any long-term commitments with any of these players, it continues to generate repeat business from all customers and is among the preferred vendors in India for some of them. This reflects the strong customer confidence in SPAL for its ability to understand latest trends and ensure timely delivery of quality products.

Exhibit 6: SPAL's major customers

# TESCO :'ÁSDA Primark K <br> mothercare ounmes sions GasAD 

Source: Equirus Securities

## Supplier of fashion garments with small batch sizes

Unlike larger peers like Kitex Garments (KTG) that specialize in basic garments, SPAL focuses primarily on manufacturing fashion garments for infants and children. Fashion garments involve extra processes of printing and embroidery, and SPAL uses latest technology, such as automated embroidery and sewing assembly lines, for its products. Moreover, the batch size is smaller ( $20,000-50,000$ pieces) for fashion garments as against (>100,000 pieces) for basic products. SPAL's in-house designing capabilities, adoption of
latest technology, and ability to source skilled labor and materials give it a competitive edge and higher realization/piece. However, with the increase in the share of Primark with relatively lower realizations, SPAL's realization/piece slid to Rs 100 in FY17 from Rs. 117 in FY16.

Exhibit 7: Customer-wise revenue share (FY17)
Customer-wise revenue share


Source: Equirus Securities

## TESCO \& ASDA - the largest customers

For many years, TESCO and ASDA have been among SPAL's largest customers, accounting for more than $60 \%$ of total garment revenues in FY17. TESCO is the largest retail chain in the UK and the third largest in the world in terms of revenues. With a revenue share of close of $35 \%$ in FY17, TESCO is one of the top contributors to SPAL's topline. ASDA, which generates $\sim 25 \%$ of SPAL's revenues, is the third-largest supermarket chain in Britain and a subsidiary of Walmart.

The realization/piece for Tesco and ASDA is among the highest at Rs $140-150$. Revenues from both companies combined grew at $10 \%$ CAGR over FY13-FY17. However, revenues declined in FY16 due to an overall slowdown in the UK as Brexit affected the purchasing power of customers; nevertheless, FY17 saw a sharp recovery as TESCO/ASDA revenues grew $24 \% / 38 \%$ yoy. With incremental capacities in place, we expect revenues from TESCO and ASDA to grow at a healthy rate in the coming years as well.

Exhibit 8: Revenues from TESCO grew at 10\% CAGR over FY13-FY17



Source: Equirus Securities

## Primark to drive growth in SPAL's garment revenues

Founded in 1969, Primark is one of the world's largest clothing retailers based out of Ireland. It currently has 335 outlets in 11 countries which include UK, Ireland, Spain, Portugal, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, Austria, France, Italy and US

With most of its outlets in the UK - SPAL's major export market - Primark serves a huge set of customers looking for quality, up-to-minute designs at value-for-money prices Primark's organic growth came from its unique ability of sourcing quality goods at low prices along with latest fashion and lean operations. Growing customer acceptance from latest designs and value-for-money products push up the company's revenues by $16 \%$ CAGR over CY07-CY16.
Exhibit 10: Primark revenues grew with a robust 16\% CAGR growth over CY07-CY16


Source: ABF Annual Reports, Equirus Securities

Backed by its robust business model and value offerings, Primark has successfully entered new territories and gained market share. Along with continued outperformance in the UK (market share: $\sim 15 \%$ ), it has received outstanding response in non-UK markets as well, as reflected in its revenue growth and outlet expansion rate every year. In 2016, the company opened 22 new outlets ( 1.2 mn sq. ft ) in 9 different countries and plans to add another 1.3 mn sq. ft in 2017. Within a short span of 10 years, Primark has become a market leader in Spain. It also entered into the US with four new outlet openings at prime locations. It has received a positive feedback, particularly for its exceptional value-for-money and breadth of its product range. The international expansion of Primark is very much in infancy stage outside UK (one-sixth size of H\&M/Inditex). With its current success rate in newer markets, we expect Primark revenues to grow at a strong rate

Exhibit 11:Rigorous outlet expansion by Primark aids growth in revenue per sq. ft.


Source: ABF Annual Reports, Equirus Securities

Exhibit 12:Primark's share in SPAL's revenue mix grew strongly in last 2 years


Source: Equirus Securities

Exhibit 13: Revenues from Primark spiral up from FY16 post dedicated capacity allocation


Source: Equirus Securities

Known for its servicing capabilities and adherence to quality norms, SPAL has been one of Primark's preferred suppliers for infant and childrenswear. In the past, due to capacity constraints, revenues were restricted to $\sim$ Rs 50 mn per annum which, post FY15, zoomed up to $\sim$ Rs 100 mn per month in FY17 after SPAL allocated a dedicated capacity for Primark. On an annual basis, revenues from Primark increased from Rs 138mn (~4\% revenue share) in FY15 to $\sim$ Rs 1.24 bn in FY17 ( $25 \%$ revenue share).

After serving Primark for more than a decade, SPAL has developed strong business relationships while understanding client needs and supplying orders as per agreements. In tandem with rising revenues of Primark in the coming years, we expect SPAL's revenues from the company to grow on a strong footing.

New customer additions to further boost topline
While SPAL's existing customers will continue to grow at a strong pace, new customer addition will further add to the topline. The company had been in talks with seven customers and of them, added two customers (US-based Garan Inc. \& K-Mart) to its client portfolio in 4QFY17. It is also in advanced talks with France-based Kiabi. All three have been SPAL's customers in the past, but were dropped due to capacity or serviceability constraints. Since SPAL has added back their old customers, we see huge revenue
potential from them as they are big retail giants with decades of operating experience. Shipments to Garan and K-Mart have already begun in smaller quantities. Revenue from new customers stood at $\sim$ Rs 200mn in H1FY18 and are expected to reach $\sim$ Rs 600-650mn in FY19E once order quantities are scaled up.

SPAL is also in talks with two new customers which it expects to add by Mar'18. Continuous addition of new customers and their gradual scaling up will not only help SPAL in reducing client concentration risk but also provide a significant boost to revenues

| Exhibit 14: | Brief profile of newly added/about to be added customers of SPAL |
| :---: | :--- |
| New <br> customers | Country of <br> operations |


| K- Mart | U.S. | Department outlet chain with 591 outlets operating in 2017 and <br> revenues at US\$1.5bn |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Garan | U.S. | Subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway and has been into <br> childrenswear manufacturing \& retailing for more than 50 years |
| Kiabi | France | A fashion retailer with strong online presence serving over 20mn <br> customers and operating more than 500 outlets worldwide |

Source: Equirus Securities

## Utilization of IPO funds to expand capacities and remove bottlenecks

Despite being a high-quality childrenswear garment manufacturer that adhered to all quality norms, SPAL could neither scale up existing clients nor add new clients due to capacity constraints. This in turn restricted revenue growth, hampering its ability to repay debt quickly. And so in 2016, the promoters decided to break this vicious circle and come out with an IPO - a move which not only helped SPAL in paying off debt but also generate funds for expansion and remove existing bottlenecks.

| Exhibit 15: Planned utilization of IPO proceeds \& pending utilization till Sep'17 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Particulars (In Rs Mn) | Amount <br> Allotted | Amount <br> Utilized | Pending <br> Utilization |
| Repayment of debt | 630 | 630 | 0 |
| Opening of new "Crocodile" outlets | 279 | 87 | 192 |
| Expansion \& modernization of manufacturing facility | 702 | 148 | 554 |
| Addition of balancing machines for existing dyeing unit | 49 | 49 | 0 |
| General corporate purposes | 491 | 491 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |

Source: Equirus Securities

| Exhibit 16: Capacity expansion to significantly improve SPAL's serviceability |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Particulars | Till FY15 | By FY18-end |
| Blow-room Capacity | $3,200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day}$ | $15,015 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| Spinning Machines | 90 's count | 45 's count |
| Number of Spindles | 16,896 | 22,720 |
| Knitting Machines | - | 40 |
| Dyeing Machines | 24,000 | 27,000 |
| Sewing Machines | 3,290 | 4,400 |

Source: Equirus Securities

Spinning capacity - Debottlenecking existing unit, adding new capacity to improve efficiency: At the current spinning facility at Valapady, SPAL manufactured yarn with 45 s and 90 s count (in ratio of 10:6) as against the requirement of 45 s count for manufacturing childrenswear garments. This was mainly due to blow-room capacity constraints (only $3,200 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day as against spinning capacity of 16,896 spindles); a blow room typically opens, cleans and blends the cotton fibre before feeding it into the spindles for spinning. Consequently, the company had to sell its entire 90s count yarn production in the open market and purchase 45s count yarn.

With an expansion project of $\sim$ Rs 28mn, SPAL will increase its blow-room capacity from $3,200 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day to $15,015 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day. This will allow it to produce the 45 s count yarn, the prime requirement for childrenswear garments. Along with this, the company will also expand its spinning capacity by increasing its spindle count from 16,896 currently to 22,272 . Besides, it will add compactors, enabling it to undertake customized orders and achieve an overall improvement in fabric quality; compactors ensure accuracy of the output fabric density

Knitting capacity - Addition of machines to reduce third-party dependence, to enhance productivity: In the absence of own knitting machines, SPAL was fully dependent on third parties for its knitting requirements. With IPO funds, it will set up a new knitting facility, adjacent to its spinning facility at Valapady, and install new knitting machines. Addition of the knitting facility will (1) enhance the precision over output fabric, enabling SPAL to serve customized orders, and (2) reduce transportation costs, thereby increasing production efficiency with minimal wastage and bottlenecks Till Sep'17, SPAL had already installed 20 knitting machines and put them to production t plans to add a total of 40 machines by FY18-end.
SPAL has already added balancing machines for its existing dyeing and drying process at its processing facility at SIPCOT, Perundurai

Sewing capacity - Adequate to add 10-15\% to topline: Inadequate sewing machines restricted SPAL in taking incremental orders from smaller customers, resulting in lower revenue growth. In FY16, the company had a total of 3,410 sewing machines which it increased by 300 in FY17. The current capacity utilization of sewing machines stands at $\sim 80-82 \%$, which the company feels can easily increase to $\sim 90-95 \%$ led by an improvement in efficiencies and labor productivity. The machine count at the Sep'17-end was 4,050, and with an increase in the utilization rate, it can add $\sim 10-15 \%$ growth to topline. Hence, management's current focus is to improve the productivity of existing machines.

Besides, SPAL had purchased a factory at Kovilpatti in the past, where the construction process has begun and production is expected to start from FY19-beginning. A total of 350 sewing machines would be added at this factory to be utilized for serving incremental order inflows from both, new as well as existing customers

Exhibit 17: Production process flow-chart


## Wholly-owned subsidiary SPUK to complement growth

Incorporated in 2014, SP Apparels (UK) Ltd. (SPUK) - 100\% subsidiary of SPAL - is in the business of trading and marketing activities with new customers in UK, Ireland and other EU countries. It acts as a bridge between the sourcing and manufacturing sides with specific requirements. It also takes up orders not fulfilled by SPAL due to small volumes and places it with third parties. SPUK has a design studio and hired experienced designer consultants for its customers. It also provides after sales service for any technical and other grievances.

With long-term relationships with existing clients, new client addition (added Landmark, BHS International and Peacock in Q1FY18 and 2-3 additional customers in pipeline) strong technical and designing capabilities and a growing business, SPUK is expected to contribute meaningfully to SPAL's topline in the next few years. SPUK revenues have grown from GBP 0.2mn (~Rs 19mn) in FY16 to GBP 1.47mn (~Rs 129mn) in FY17 and Rs 165 mn in H1FY18. SPUK also achieved PAT-level breakeven in FY17 vs. losses of Rs 1.6 mn in FY16. We expect revenues from SPUK to grow at $35 \%$ CAGR over FY17-FY20E off a very small base to Rs 319 mn in FY20E. PAT is set to grow to Rs 33.5 mn in FY20.

Exhibit 18: SPUK's H1FY18 revenues ~28\% higher than FY17 revenues; PAT-level breakeven attained in FY17


Source: Equirus Securities, Company

Source: RHP, Equirus Securities

With further growth from existing customers and ramp-up in newly-added customers backed by incremental capacity addition, we expect overall garment revenues to grow at 8\% CAGR over FY17-FY20E to Rs 7.4bn. While revenues from TESCO, ASDA and others will continue to grow at a healthy pace, strong growth in business from Primark along with a pick-up in order flows from new customers would shore up SPAL's topline.

Exhibit 19: Expect garment revenues to grow at 8\% CAGR over FY17-FY20E


Source: Equirus Securities, Company
Exhibit 20: Scaling up to increase quantity exported; high basics to hit realizations


## Increasing presence across retail formats

Menswear major contributor to growing Indian apparel market
India's total apparel market size in 2015 was US\$ 59bn and is set to reach US\$180bn in 2025, representing a $12 \%$ CAGR over 2015-25E. While the Indian Apparel Market is the fourth largest in the world (considering EU-28 as one) after EU-28, US, China and Japan, it is almost one-fifth in size of USA and one-fourth of China's apparel market.

Exhibit 21: Indian apparel market to grow at 12\% CAGR over FY15-FY25E
-Indian Apparel Market Size (In USD Bn)


[^0]Exhibit 22: Indian apparel market - Key growth drivers


Source: Equirus Securities

Source: Equirus Securities
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While developed nations remain important centers from an overall apparel consumption perspective, developing countries like India will see almost a $2-3 \mathrm{x}$ jump in the per capita expenditure on apparels which currently is very low vis-à-vis developed nations. US per capita spend on apparels in 2015 stood at US\$ 978 versus just US\$ 45 for India. With all growth drivers in place, India's per capita expenditure on apparels is set to touch US\$123 in 2025E and should significantly benefit domestic apparel manufacturers like SPAL.

Menswear segment has been the largest contributor to India's total apparel market with a share of $42 \%$ in 2014, followed by womenswear and childrenswear at 38\% and 20\% respectively. While the menswear market would continue dominating the market in 2024E, increased penetration in womenswear and childrenswear brands would push down menswear contribution to $39 \%$. Accordingly, the menswear market is expected to grow from ~US\$ 25bn in FY14 to ~US\$ 70bn in FY24, an 11\% CAGR over FY14-FY24E.

Exhibit 23: Menswear segment contribution to dip marginally on growing penetration of womenswear and childrenswear


- Menswear

■ Womenswear
■ Childrenswear

Source: Technopak Analysis, Equirus Securities

Brand preference in the Indian menswear market has been higher than that for womenswear and childrenswear owing to the long presence of various brands in the market and a higher skew towards western clothing. Also, the Indian menswear consumer is increasingly factoring in parameters like quality, design and style consciousness, fit and size specification. Consequently, demand for private labels and regional branded apparels that offer value for money has been increasing over the years.

SPAL, through its subsidiary, Crocodile Products Private Limited (CPPL), a JV between SPAL ( $70 \%$ share) and Crocodile International Pte. Ltd. (CIPL; 30\% share) is in the business of manufacturing and retailing menswear garments under the Crocodile brand. CPPL has entered into a technology license agreement with CIPL for the exclusive manufacture, distribution and marketing of menswear products under the trademark Crocodile in India.

Exhibit 24: Crocodile Retail outlet


Source: Equirus Securities
Under the Crocodile brand, SPAL operates in two models (a) B2C retail segment where it sells men's shirts, polo, t-shirts, trousers, jeans, sweaters, jackets, and (b) B2B essentials segment where it sells men's innerwear products like vests, briefs and boxer shorts. B2C products are sold through EBOs - COCO (Company-Owned Company-Operated) and FOFO (Franchise-Own Franchise-Operated) outlets and large format outlets (LFS) like Arvind Unlimited and Central and Reliance Market. On the other hand, B2B products are sold through 85 distributors and $\sim 4,200$ Multi-Brand Outlets (MBO).

Exhibit 25: Increased focus, aggressive outlet expansion to drive retail revenue growth

| Retail Network | Sep-17 |
| :--- | ---: |
| EBOs- COCO | 35 |
| EBOs- FOFO | 12 |
| MBOs | 4,200 |
| LFS | 172 |
| No of States | 9 |
| Outlet size (Sq. Ft) | $400-1500$ |

Source: Company, Equirus Securities
Most of SPAL's outlets are in South India, mainly due to the company's operations in the region. However going ahead, it plans to aggressively enhance in central and northeast India by opening new outlets, thus expanding its presence from nine states currently to 18 states over the next three years. SPAL has already set aside Rs 280 mn as capex requirement for this expansion from its IPO proceeds.

## Retail expansion to take place through LFS and MBO channels

As part of its strategy to increase the brand presence of Crocodile, the company has planned to expand B2C sales through the LFS route mainly due to (a) better penetration in a short period of time, (b) higher walk-ins right from day one, and (c) shorter breakeven period as compared to COCO outlets and (d) lower investment requirements. While the company will continue to open COCO outlets at a gradual pace, focus would be to open more LFS outlets going ahead. In addition to the existing 172 LFS outlets as of Sep'17, another 140 outlets are expected to be added over the next three years, taking the total count to $\sim 300$ outlets. Additionally, the company's endeavor would be to open more franchise outlets while gradually converting existing COCO outlets to FOFO outlets.

On the Essentials front, addition of MBO outlets along with an increase in the number of distributors across India would boost B2B revenues.
Exhibit 26: Aggressive expansion in central and northeast India to boost retail topline


Exhibit 27:Presence in most major LFS and e-commerce platforms
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Source: Equirus Securities

Exhibit 28: New COCO and FOFO outlet additions to enhance brand presence


[^1][^2]Exhibit 29:Rigorous addition of LFS outlets to provide strong boost to retail revenues


Source: Equirus Securities

## Low base + higher focus + rigorous expansion = strong retail revenue growth

High debt and capacity constraints refrained SPAL to undertake extensive retail expansion; consequently, management focused on increasing garment revenues while gradually reducing debt. However post IPO, greater capacity along with lower debt levels allowed the management to pursue expansion of all retail channels - EBOs, MBOs, and LFS. With the drafted expansion plan, we expect retail revenues to grow at a $25 \%$ CAGR off a smaller base over FY17-FY20E.

Exhibit 30: Retail revenues to grow at 25\% CAGR over FY17-FY20E


[^3]
## SPAL's post-IPO backward integration -Knitting the right strategy

Pre-IPO blues: Financial crises curbs capex in FY11-FY15; poor servicing capabilities lead to client attrition

During the financial crisis of 2007-08, SPAL was involved in hedging activities and booked losses of $\sim$ Rs 850 mn . To recover the losses, company sold off its windmill assets (Rs $200-250 \mathrm{mn}$ ) and promoters provided a loan of Rs 200 mn to the company; while the remaining losses were made up from internal accruals over a period of time. A strained balance sheet with high leverage levels restricted expansion, which in turn limited new customer additions. Inadequate capacities amid robust demand conditions restricted revenue growth to just 6.5\% CAGR over FY11-FY15.

Most of SPAL's customers were international retail chains following stringent quality norms and demanded dedicated capacity requirements. Since this became increasingly difficult for the company, it stopped servicing some of its customers and narrowed its focus to a few customers so as to leverage them while increasing their wallet share. Focusing on fewer clients implied meeting lower compliance requirements along with effective capacity allocation, thus ensuring they are serviced efficiently.

## Post-IPO vertically integrated production to boost margins

One of the important objectives for going public, apart from paying off debt, was to carry out backward integration and make the production process vertically integrated. This would not only make them highly competitive but also reduce their dependence on third parties.

## a. Backward integration

A total of Rs 750 mn from IPO funds were targeted towards the entire backward integration; of this, $\sim$ Rs 200 mn have been already utilized as of Sep' 17 in adding spinning machines, expanding the blow-room capacity, adding new knitting machines and adding balancing machines for the dyeing facility. A major portion of the remaining amount would be invested in expansion of the spinning capacity (increasing number of spindles to 22,720 by FY19-end), adding knitting and dyeing machines, and towards new building construction for sewing machines at Valapady.

The backward integration process will make SPAL a fully vertically integrated player with presence in entire textile production chain, giving it significant operating leverage to deal with large customers. Vertical integration would not only enhance control over the manufacturing process but also increase operating efficiencies and ability to meet
stringent customer requirements. The entire exercise of backward integration (projected to complete by FY19-end) would add $\sim 3-5 \%$ to margins as the company would benefit in the form of:

- Lower spinning costs due to complete manufacturing of required yarn in-house.
- Decline in knitting costs as knitting was entirely outsourced earlier.

Addition of sewing capacities.
Exhibit 31: Kitex's backward integration/expansion in FY07/FY14 boosted margins in ensuing years


Source: Equirus Securities

Kitex Garments embarked on a capex of a similar magnitude towards backward integration and expansion in FY07 and FY14 (Exhibit: 31), which pushed up margins by ~10\% in the ensuing years. We expect a similar kind of uptick in SPAL's margins once full benefits of backward integration flow to the bottom-line.
b. Shift from fashion to basic products to improve utilization levels

Most of SPAL's customers dealt in fashion products and hence placed orders for fashion childrenswear garments. Exhibit 32 outlines the per unit realization of SPAL's customers. TESCO and ASDA have been the company's largest customers with per unit realization of Rs 140-150/piece; other major customers also fetched similar realizations. Manufacturing of fashion garments resulted in low machine utilization (~75-80\% in FY17).

Exhibit 32: Realization per piece of customers of SPAL

| Customers | Realization per piece (ln Rs.) |
| :--- | ---: |
| TESCO | $140-150$ |
| ASDA | $140-150$ |
| Mothercare | $130-135$ |
| Dunnes Stores | $135-140$ |
| Primark | $50-60$ |
| Garan Inc* | $90-100$ |
| K-Mart* | $60-70$ |
| Kiabi (Not added yet) | $140-150$ |

Source: Company, Equirus Securities (*) New customers

With the share of Primark, which is into basic garments, growing in SPAL's overall business, management has decided to gradually increase the share of basic garments in its portfolio. Additionally, new customers that were added in FY17-FY18E were also medium fashion customers.

Management's conscious decision to increase the share of basic garments will lead to:

1. Increase in capacity utilization: As the batch size of basic garments will be larger than fashion garments, machine productivity would increase as they would run for more time with minimal breaks; this in turn would enhance capacity utilization.
2. Benefits of scale: Unlike fashion garments where per unit cost is high due to a small batch size ( $25 \mathrm{~K}-50 \mathrm{~K}$ pieces per batch), basic garments have large batch sizes (>1 lakh pieces per batch), resulting in lower per unit costs.
3. Better labor productivity: With the share of basic garments in total mix increasing, labor productivity would increase as constantly difficult designs hurt productivity.

The split between fashion and basic garments, earlier in the favor of fashion at 70:30, has currently reduced to 60:40 and would further go down to 50:50. A higher share of basic garments would improve machine utilization levels from 70-75\% currently to ~90\% in the next 2-3 years.

Exhibit 33: Garment margins to decline on Brexit impact; retail to break even in FY18, FY19E to see margin expansion


Source: Equirus Securities, Company

Garment margins jumped by 380bps in FY17 led by increased efficiencies, mainly due to expansion and modernization activities carried out by the company. While operating efficiencies would continue to accrue as full benefits of expansion are yet to be realized, FY18 is likely to see a marginal decline in garment margins due to unfavorable currency, the Brexit impact and a change in the duty drawback structure.

We however expect garment margins to expand $\sim 400 \mathrm{bps}$ to $22 \%$ over FY18-FY20 led by strong operating and processing efficiencies. The retail segment, which incurred losses in FY16 and FY17, attained breakeven in 2QFY18 led by lower per unit costs and strong growth in LFS sales. We expect retail margins to touch $3 \%$ in FY20, a ~300bps expansion over FY18-FY20E, on rigorous outlets expansion plans and strong revenue growth.

Expect to generate ~Rs 1.4bn FCFF generation over next 3 years

In Dec'07, SPAL acquired Sri Balaji Bakiam Spinning Mill at Valapady, which had a capacity of 12,672 spindles, for a consideration of Rs 260 mn funded by a mix of internal accruals and debt. Post the acquisition, the company has added only $\sim 4,300$ spindles over the last 10 years. Historically, SPAL's capex for capacity addition has been quite low constrained by heavy debt and shortage of free cash flows. However, the IPO funds (~Rs 2.15bn) enabled the company to pay off its long-term debt ( $\sim$ Rs 630 mn ) and accumulated funds for its much needed expansion \& modernization ( $\sim$ Rs 750 mn ) and retail outlet expansion (~Rs 280mn).

Exhibit 34: Minimal increase in gross block over FY13-FY16, but things looking up post the 2016 IPO


Source: Equirus Securities, Company
Backward integration would reduce dependence on third parties in terms of raw material procurement and yarn processing, resulting in tight control over working capital. In-house manufacturing would lead to a sharp reduction in payable days and increase inventory days. Receivable days could increase marginally due to a higher share of retail in the total sales mix. While WC days could inch up because of this, things should stabilize once the backward integration exercise is complete.

Exhibit 35: Payable days to decline on increase in in-house manufacturing; receivable days to increase on a higher retail share in the total mix


[^4]The entire backward process will generate strong cash flows from savings in interest costs, topline growth and margin expansion. Between FY15-FY17, SPAL generated OCF of $\sim$ Rs 1.4bn, which is expected to touch $\sim$ Rs 2bn over FY18-20E. While cash outflow in terms of capacity expansion and new retail outlet addition will continue in the future, IPO funded capex, a $10 \%$ revenue CAGR and a $\sim 260 \mathrm{bps}$ margin expansion over FY17-20E would help SPAL generate $\sim$ Rs 1.4 bn of FCF over the next three years.

Exhibit 36: OCF to rise, leading to FCF generation of $\sim$ Rs 1.4 bn over next 3 years


Source: Equirus Securities

## RM costs, overheads to decline; profitability, margins to improve

Proximity of sewing capacities to laborers ensures low attrition rate
Garment manufacturing is a labor-intensive industry. Give the high proportion of women laborers, the attrition rate is also very high. SPAL currently has 23 manufacturing facilities located within $\sim 125 \mathrm{kms}$ radius of its main office. The company has arranged sewing capacities closer to the homes of laborers as majority of them are housewives. This gives them flexibility to manage full-time responsibilities at work and home. Consequently, the company's attrition rate is very low, thus lowering the T\&D expenses and retention of highly efficient laborers.

However, other machines for spinning, printing and dyeing are placed at a single location, resulting in significant savings in production, labor and transportation costs. While RM costs will decline on backward integration benefits; employee costs and other expenses (as a percentage of sales) would dip on scaling benefits.

Exhibit 37: RM costs, employee costs and other expenses to dip on scaling benefits


Source: Company, Equirus Securities

Exhibit 38:EBITDA to grow at 16\% CAGR with ~260bps margin expansion over FY17-20E


[^5]Exhibit 39: PAT to grow at 18\% CAGR with ~200bps margin expansion over FY17-20E


Source: Equirus Securities

## Lower rebates offset backward integration gains in FY18; FY19 to be better

In the pre-GST regime, SPAL received a total of 10.5-11\% rebate on garment exports, which included a $\sim 7.7 \%$ duty drawback and $3.05 \%$ Rebate On State Levies (ROSL). Post GST, the duty drawback rate has been reduced to $2 \%$ while ROSL to $0.39 \%$ (final notification pending). Against this, the company will be able to take an input tax credit of $\sim 5 \%$ on procured raw materials, effectively leading to a $\sim 3 \%$ impact on margins. We expect this margin impact to be offset by backward integration benefits gradually flowing in, leading to flat margins in FY18. SPAL would fully pass-on the impact of duty drawback to customers in a few months as they are already struggling due to currency depreciation.

FY19 margins are expected to be much better as (b) backward integration benefits will fully accrue to the company, and (b) new orders will be based on adjusted drawback rates and desired margin levels.

## ROE/ROCE to expand by ~ 300bps in FY19 after declining in FY18

Over FY13-FY15, SPAL's return ratios remained in single digits due to low margins and high interest expenses. In the last two years, return ratios have improved significantly due to strong operating profit growth and falling interest costs. SPAL's ROE/ROCE is expected to decline in FY18 due to challenging demand conditions (affected by Brexit).

However, return ratios should pick up again from FY19 on the back of strong operating efficiencies due to backward integration, new orders taken in line with the new duty

| Exhibit 41:Key Assumptions | FY17 | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Particulars | 5,955 | 5,754 | 6,507 | 7,594 |
| Garment Revenues (In Rs Mn) | $19 \%$ | $-3 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| YoY Growth | 568 | 717 | 913 | 1,119 |
| Retail Revenues (In Rs Mn) | $64 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| YoY Growth | 47.9 | 49.1 | 59.0 | 70.8 |
| Number of pieces exported | 100 | 99 | 94 | 92 |
| Export Realization per piece (Rs) |  |  |  |  |
| No of retail outlets | 38 | 43 | 50 | 57 |
| COCO | 16 | 25 | 40 | 55 |
| FOFO | 85 | 130 | 194 | 266 |
| LFS | 4,000 | 4,200 | 4,500 | 4,800 |
| MBO outlets |  |  |  |  |
| Parce |  |  |  |  |

Source: Equirus Securities

## Investment risk \& concerns

Forex volatility: SPAL's revenues are generated in USD/Pound/Euro, which constitute $\sim 50 \% / 28 \% / 22 \%$ of the revenue mix. The company hedges $\sim 60 \%$ of the order value at the time of receiving the order, $20 \%$ at the time of shipment while the balance $20 \%$ is kept open. While sharp currency movements can lead to renegotiation in the terms of the contract, small movements have to be borne by the company itself. Hence, high currency volatility will hit revenues and margins.

Customer concentration: Till FY17, SPAL had only five major customers that contributed -98\% of its garment revenues. A slowdown in any major customer significantly affects SPAL's garment revenues. Such high concentration stems from the company's strategy to focus on the top-few, most-profitable customers due to capacity constraints. However, post IPO and subsequent capacity addition, SPAL is adding more customers to diversify its revenues and limit exposure to a single currency. The company has added three more customers in FY17 while two more customers are in the pipeline. However, since new customers would take some time to scale up, the customer concentration risk will persist for some time.

Slowdown in UK market: Demand from the UK and other related markets spiraled down due to Brexit impact in FY17, significantly impacting the company's performance in

H1FY18. We expect this slowdown to hurt SPAL's H2FY18 also. However, continued slowdown in FY19 can severely affect the company's growth trajectory.
Delay in retail outlet expansion \& sales pickup: SPAL intends to invest a significant part of the IPO funds in retail outlets expansion. Strong growth in retail revenues is expected to come from an increase in number of EBOs and LFS outlets and by entering new territories. Delay in expansion of retail outlets and corresponding pickup in sales from newer and existing outlets can negatively affect SPAL's retail revenue growth.

## Corporate Governance

Key highlights on corporate governance based on SPAL's recent Annual Reports:

- Company accounts were audited by M/s. Deloitte Haskins \& Sells, CA, for a period of five years ending FY17. From FY18, SPAL has appointed M/s. ASA \& Associates LLP, CA for a period of five years. The reports and findings of the Internal Auditor and the internal control system are periodically reviewed.
- SPALs Board of Directors has an optimum combination of Executive, NonExecutive and Independent Directors, including women directors. The Board comprises three whole-time directors (the Managing Director and two Executive Directors), one Non-Executive Director and four Independent Directors. Except for the Non-executive Director, all other directors (including MD) are liable to retire by rotation.
- SPAL has not paid any dividend till FY16 due to heavy debt and expansion plans. It paid a dividend of 30 paise for full year FY17 with a dividend payout ratio of $5 \%$.


## Competitor Analysis

## Kitex Garments - The closest rival

Profile: Kochi-based Kitex Garments manufactures and sells infantwear and childrenswear garments, which includes sleep suits, $t$-shirts, pants, hosiery, innerwear and outerwear for infants. Kitex is the largest childrenswear exporter of India with a production capacity of 165 mn pieces per annum, followed by SPAL with a production capacity of 51 mn pieces. Some other private players that compete with SPAL are Jay Mills Pvt. Ltd. and Eastman Exports Pvt. Ltd

Kitex Garments mainly sells basic garments and operates in bulk quantities only ( $>100,000$ pieces). Against this, SPAL is mainly present in fashion garments where the
production time is much higher and batch sizes are smaller ( $20,000-50,000$ pieces). Per unit realization of Kitex Garments stands at $\sim$ Rs $80-90$ while that for SPAL at $\sim$ Rs 100-110 SPAL is moving towards increasing the share of basic garments (at par with fashion garments) in the total mix to improve utilization levels by achieving operating efficiencies with realization per piece not falling below $\sim$ Rs 85-90.

Kitex operates in a single plant where all processing activities are carried out at one place and laborers also stay with their families in quarters. In contrast to this, SPAL operates through 23 manufacturing facilities which are located within a radius of -125 kms near Tirupur, India's leading textile hub, and close to families of laborers. This not only results in significant savings in production, labor and transportation costs but also reduces the attrition rate - a key industry concern

Exhibit 42: SPAL \& Kitex Garments - Financial comparison

|  | SP Apparels |  |  | Kitex Garments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rs Mn | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 |
| Revenue | 4,758 | 5,328 | 6,357 | 5,111 | 5,458 | 5,459 |
| Growth | 5\% | 12\% | 19\% | 16\% | 7\% | 0\% |
| Exports (In Mn Pieces)* | 29 | 36 | 48 |  | - |  |
| Realization per piece* | 127 | 118 | 100 | - |  |  |
| EBITDA | 697 | 853 | 1,073 | 1,688 | 1,863 | 1,703 |
| EBITDAM | 14.6\% | 16.0\% | 16.9\% | 33.0\% | 34.1\% | 31.2\% |
| Depreciation | 197 | 201 | 208 | 213 | 213 | 204 |
| EBIT | 500 | 652 | 866 | 1,474 | 1,650 | 1,500 |
| PAT | 104 | 354 | 607 | 985 | 1121 | 925 |
| PATM | 2\% | 7\% | 10\% | 19\% | 21\% | 17\% |
| Net Working Capital | 852 | 1,061 | 1,873 | 536 | 653 | 1,551 |
| NWC Turns | 5.6 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 3.5 |
| Fixed Assets | 2,685 | 2,711 | 2,912 | 1,882 | 1,730 | 1,615 |
| FA Turns | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Capital Employed | 4,158 | 4,272 | 5,949 | 4,509 | 5,027 | 4,890 |
| Capital Employed Turns | 1.14 | 1.25 | 1.07 | 1.13 | 1.09 | 1.12 |
| Pre-tax RoCE | 12\% | 15\% | 15\% | 33\% | 33\% | 31\% |

[^6]Exhibit 43:Peer set - comparative analysis

| Company | Production Capacity (In mn pieces) | FY17 Revenue (In Rs mn) | Key Markets | Key Clients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP Apparels | 51 | 6,357 | Europe \& Unites States | TESCO, ASDA, Primark, Mothercare, Dunnes Outlets |
| Kitex Garments | 165 | 5,459 | Unites States | Gerber, Walmart, Amazon, Buy-Buy Baby, Children's Place, Kohl's, Toys R Us, Carter's, Mothercare, Target. |
| Jay Mills (Pvt) Ltd. | NA | 4,971 (FY16) | UK, US, Brazil, France, Sweden | Kappahl Outlets-Sweden, Dunnes Outlets-Ireland, Gerber Childrenswear-US, Mother Care-UK |
| Eastman Exports (Pvt) Ltd. | NA | 12,286 (FY16) | US, Europe, Mexico, Brazil, Hong Kong, Korea, Japan, South Africa, Australia | Guru, Esprit, Fila, Timberland, Mexx, Base London, Nike, Calvin Klein, sOliver, Tom Tailor, Vetir. U.S. - Tommy Hilfiger, Caribbean Joe, Abercrombie \& Fitch, Kappa, Coogi |

Source: Equirus Securities

Topline trends: While SPAL has emerged strong with revenues growing at 16\% CAGR over FY15-17 backed by business expansion from existing customers, Kitex struggled to expand its revenues which grew by a meager 3\% CAGR over FY15-FY17 due to delayed dispatches, forex fluctuations, exit of a major customer, and a delay in pickup of its brands.

Margin profile: SPAL's margins profile has been quite weak vis-à-vis Kitex Garments due to lack of fully integrated production activities (leading to high dependence on third parties) and capacity constraints which restricted them to expand revenues and thus reduce per unit costs. Moreover, SPAL's production costs were higher due to presence in the fashion segment (leading to low machine utilization) and hiring of specialized employees. Along with this, high interest payments resulted in low profit margins. In contrast to this, Kitex operates in the basic segment with high level of automation; this along with a debt-free B/S enabled the company to maintain high margin levels. SPAL, with its IPO proceeds, has not only paid off its debt but also has invested heavily in fully integrating its production chain and expanding capacities. This should push down its production costs and improve its margins.

Working capital: SPAL's working capital turns have historically been quite low as compared to Kitex, mainly due to high production time required for fashion garments vis-à-vis basic garments for Kitex which consequently has lower working capital turns. Moreover, outsourcing to third parties also added to the production time. With an increase in the revenue share of basic garments along with fully integrated production, net working capital turns are set to rise in future.

Return ratios: Despite strong customer demand, SPAL could not scale up volumes mainly due to bottlenecks with existing machines and capacity and serviceability constraints. This did not allow effective utilization of the installed capacity. But post payment of long term debt and expansion and modernization carried, SPAL's return ratios are set to mprove.

## Valuation

## nitiate with a Jun'19 TP of Rs 541 based on 17x Jun'19 EPS of Rs 32

- We expect SPAL's future to be significantly different from its past which was marred by high debt, capacity constraints and a concentrated customer base.
- We initiate coverage on the stock with a P/E based Jun'19 TP of Rs 541 based on 17x Jun'19 EPS of Rs 32 /share. We believe valuations are justified as (1) the full benefits of backward integration are yet to flow to the bottom-line, (2) capacity expansion will allow existing customers to grow their business with SPAL, (3) new customer additions and their gradual scale-up will ensure effective capacity utilization, (4) retail revenue growth fuelled by retail outlet expansion and a rise in profitability.

| Exhibit 44: Peer Valuation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particulars |  | SP Apparels | Kitex Garments |
| P/E | FY17 | 17 | 23 |
|  | FY18E | 20 | 21 |
|  | FY19E | 14 | 18 |
| P/B | FY17 | 2.7 | 4.7 |
|  | FY18E | 2.4 | 4.0 |
|  | FY19E | 2.1 | 3.3 |
|  | FY17 | 10.7 | 11.9 |
|  | FY18E | 11.8 | 10.9 |
|  | FY19E | 8.6 | 9.0 |
|  | FY17 | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
|  | FY18E | $13 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
|  | FY19E | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

Source: Equirus Securities

- With $12 \%$ revenue CAGR over FY12-FY17, a strong margin profile (EBITDAM at 30-32\% and PATM at $17-20 \%$ ), robust return ratios with ROEs/ROCEs at $22 \% / 32 \%$ in FY17, Kitex currently trades at 18 x FY19E EPS. SPAL, on the other hand, is yet to see strong revenue growth which in turn will improve its margin profile (EBITDAM expansion of ~260bps over FY17-FY20 next 3 years) and boost in return ratios (~300bps core ROIC expansion over next 3 years). At a CMP of Rs 410, SPAL currently trades at 14x FY19E EPS.

Exhibit 45:TTM P/E vs. 2 year forward EPS Growth


## Exhibit 46:TTM EV/EBITDA vs. 2 year forward EBITDA Growth



Exhibit 47:TTM P/B vs. 2 year forward RoE


Source: Equirus Securities

## Annexure 1: Company Overview

SPAL is one of India's leading manufacturers and exporters of knitted garments for infants and children. It provides end-to-end garment manufacturing from greige fabric to finished products, including body suits, sleep-suits, tops and bottoms. It is also the sublicensee to manufacture, distribute and market adult menswear products in India under the Crocodile brand. Its business majorly comes from five big customers namely TESCO, ASDA, Primark, Mother Care, Dunes outlets. It recently added three customers to its client portfolio - Garan Inc., K-Mart and Kiabi.

SPAL utilized its IPO proceeds to pay off its debt and carry out its expansion and modernization activities, a key constraining factor in its revenues growth. With minimal debt, expanded capacity and fully integrated production process, SPAL will receive a significant boost to its topline as well as bottom-line.
S.P. Apparels has two subsidiaries, viz. Crocodile Products Pvt Ltd. (CPPL) and S.P. Apparels (UK) (P) Ltd. (SPUK). CPPL is engaged in the business of establishing and managing units to manufacture, trade, deal, import and export garments and to enter into JV agreements with CIPL for manufacturing and marketing products under the trade mark Crocodile. About 70\% of CPPL is owned by SPAL while the remaining 30\% by Crocodile International Pte. Ltd.

SPUK is a $100 \%$ subsidiary of SPAL and engaged in trading activities with new customers in UK, Ireland and other European countries. SPUK has a design studio and has hired experienced designer consultants that provide design support services to customers. SPUK also provides after sales service to customers for any technical and other grievance.

Exhibit 48:SPAL's journey over various phases
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## Key Management profile

## Mr. P Sundararajan (Chairman and MD)

Mr. P. Sundararajan is SPAL's Chairman and Managing Director. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree from the Bangalore University and has $\sim 31$ years of experience in the textile and apparel industry. He is currently the managing director of Crocodile Products Private Limited and a Director of Poornam Enterprises Private Limited. He is also the managing partner of S.P. Lifestyles. He was a partner in some other partnership firms carrying on business in the purchase and sale of cloth, since 1983.

## Mrs. S Latha (Executive Director)

Mrs. S. Latha is SPAL's Executive Director, and was appointed as a Non-Executive Director on 18 Nov'05. She has completed education up to higher secondary, and has $\sim 24$ years of experience in the textile and apparel industry. She is currently a Director of Crocodile Products Private Limited, and a partner in the partnership firm, S.P. Lifestyles. She was also a partner in a partnership firm carrying on business in the purchase and sale of cloth since 1991.

## Mrs. P.V. Jeeva (Chief Executive Officer)

Mrs. P.V Jeeva, aged 48 years, is SPAL's Chief Executive Officer, and has been associated with the company since 1 July' 86 . She holds a diploma in textile processing from GRG Polytechnic College, Coimbatore, and has approximately 30 years of experience in the textile and apparel industry.

## Mr. V. Shankar Ram (Chief Operating Officer)

Mr. V. Shankar Ram, aged 48 years, is SPAL's Chief Operating Officer and has been associated with the company since July 1, 2015. Mr. Shankar Ram holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from CBM College, Bharatiyar University.

Mr. V. Balaji (Chief Financial Officer)
Mr. V. Balaji, aged 46 years, is SPAL's Chief Financial Officer, and has been associated with the company since 2 May'12. Mr. Balaji is a qualified Chartered Accountant and has 16 years of experience in the field of finance and accounts.

## Consolidated Quarterly Earnings Forecast and Key Drivers

| Rs in Mn | 1Q17A | 2Q17A | 3Q17A | 4Q17A | 1Q18A | 2Q18A | 3Q18E | 4Q18E | 1Q19E | 2Q19E | 3Q19E | 4Q19E | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 1,622 | 1,670 | 1,482 | 1,581 | 1,506 | 1,661 | 1,577 | 1,565 | 1,809 | 1,809 | 1,809 | 1,809 | 6,357 | 6,310 | 7,238 | 8,500 |
| Raw Materials Consumed | 610 | 706 | 577 | 648 | 595 | 678 | 664 | 619 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 2,542 | 2,555 | 2,881 | 3,341 |
| Employee Costs | 369 | 380 | 347 | 366 | 384 | 382 | 357 | 366 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 1,473 | 1,489 | 1,629 | 1,887 |
| Other Expenses | 318 | 328 | 320 | 307 | 340 | 367 | 303 | 307 | 363 | 363 | 363 | 363 | 1,268 | 1,316 | 1,451 | 1,618 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| EBITDA | 326 | 255 | 238 | 260 | 188 | 235 | 253 | 274 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 1,073 | 949 | 1,278 | 1,655 |
| Depreciation | 44 | 42 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 53 | 59 | 62 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 208 | 228 | 257 | 274 |
| EBIT | 281 | 213 | 184 | 206 | 134 | 182 | 194 | 212 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 866 | 721 | 1,022 | 1,381 |
| Interest | 67 | 42 | 31 | 0 | 67 | 77 | 21 | 30 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 135 | 195 | 147 | 129 |
| Other Income | 20 | 58 | 77 | 56 | 105 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 210 | 244 | 217 | 255 |
| PBT | 234 | 229 | 230 | 262 | 171 | 150 | 220 | 229 | 273 | 273 | 273 | 273 | 941 | 771 | 1,092 | 1,507 |
| Tax | 71 | 67 | 88 | 101 | 61 | 53 | 72 | 76 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 334 | 262 | 360 | 497 |
| PAT bef. MI \& Assoc. | 163 | 162 | 142 | 161 | 110 | 98 | 147 | 154 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 607 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 |
| Minority Interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Profit from Assoc. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recurring PAT | 163 | 162 | 142 | 161 | 110 | 98 | 147 | 154 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 607 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 |
| Extraordinaries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reported PAT | 163 | 162 | 142 | 161 | 110 | 98 | 147 | 154 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 607 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 |
| EPS (Rs) | 6.49 | 6.43 | 5.63 | 6.40 | 4.39 | 3.88 | 5.85 | 6.11 | 7.27 | 7.27 | 7.27 | 7.27 | 24.60 | 20.22 | 29.07 | 40.12 |
| Key Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Garment Revenues | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,754 | 6,507 | 7,594 | 8,515 |
| Retail Revenues | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 717 | 913 | 1,119 | 1,343 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sequential Growth (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 8 \% | 3 \% | -11\% | 7 \% | -5 \% | 10 \% | -5 \% | -1\% | 16 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | - | - | - | - |
| Raw Materials Consumed | 2 \% | 16 \% | -18\% | 12 \% | -8\% | 14 \% | -2 \% | -7\% | 16 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | - | - | - | - |
| EBITDA | 75 \% | -22\% | -7\% | 9 \% | -28\% | 25 \% | 8 \% | 8 \% | 17 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | - | - | - | - |
| EBIT | 110 \% | -24\% | -14\% | 12 \% | -35\% | 36 \% | 7 \% | 10 \% | 20 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | - | - | - | - |
| Recurring PAT | 80 \% | -1\% | -12\% | 14 \% | -31\% | -12\% | 51 \% | 5 \% | 19 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | - | - | - | - |
| EPS | -4\% | -1\% | -12\% | 14\% | -31\% | -12\% | 51 \% | $5 \%$ | 19 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | 0 \% | - | - | - | - |
| Yearly Growth (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 33 \% | 33 \% | 9 \% | 6 \% | -7\% | -1 \% | 6 \% | -1 \% | 20 \% | 9 \% | 15 \% | 16 \% | 19 \% | -1 \% | 15 \% | 17 \% |
| EBITDA | 80 \% | 32 \% | -18\% | 40 \% | -42\% | -8\% | 6 \% | 5 \% | 70 \% | 36 \% | 26 \% | 17 \% | 26 \% | -12\% | 35 \% | 29 \% |
| EBIT | 114 \% | 50 \% | -23\% | 54 \% | -52\% | -15\% | 5 \% | $3 \%$ | 91 \% | 40 \% | 32 \% | 20 \% | 33 \% | -17\% | 42 \% | 35 \% |
| Recurring PAT | 315 \% | 263 \% | 13 \% | 78 \% | -32\% | -40\% | 4 \% | -5\% | 66 \% | 87 \% | 24 \% | 19 \% | 101 \% | -18\% | 44 \% | 38 \% |
| EPS | 66 \% | 143 \% | -24\% | -6\% | -32\% | -40\% | 4\% | -5\% | 66 \% | 87 \% | 24\% | 19 \% | 19 \% | -18\% | 44 \% | 38 \% |
| Margin (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 20 \% | 15 \% | 16 \% | 16 \% | 12 \% | 14\% | 16 \% | 17 \% | 18 \% | 18 \% | 18 \% | 18 \% | 17 \% | 15 \% | 18 \% | 19 \% |
| EBIT | 17 \% | 13 \% | 12 \% | 13 \% | 9 \% | 11 \% | 12 \% | 14 \% | 14 \% | 14 \% | 14 \% | 14 \% | 14 \% | 11 \% | 14 \% | 16 \% |
| PBT | 14 \% | 14 \% | 15 \% | 17 \% | 11 \% | 9 \% | 14\% | 15 \% | 15 \% | 15 \% | 15 \% | 15 \% | 15 \% | 12 \% | 15 \% | 18 \% |
| PAT | 10 \% | 10 \% | 10 \% | $10 \%$ | 7 \% | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 10 \% | $10 \%$ | 10 \% | 10 \% | $10 \%$ | 10 \% | 8 \% | 10 \% | 12 \% |

## Consolidated Financials

| P\&L (Rs Mn) | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E | Balance Sheet (Rs Mn) | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E | Cash Flow (Rs Mn) | FY17A | FY18E | FY19E | FY20E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 6,357 | 6,310 | 7,238 | 8,500 | Equity Capital | 252 | 252 | 252 | 252 | PBT | 941 | 771 | 1,092 | 1,507 |
| Op. Expenditure | 5,283 | 5,360 | 5,960 | 6,845 | Reserve | 3,579 | 4,058 | 4,744 | 5,694 | Depreciation | 208 | 228 | 257 | 274 |
| EBITDA | 1,073 | 949 | 1,278 | 1,655 | Networth | 3,831 | 4,310 | 4,996 | 5,945 | Others | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Depreciation | 208 | 228 | 257 | 274 | Long Term Debt | 1,660 | 1,620 | 1,580 | 1,540 | Taxes Paid | 185 | 262 | 360 | 497 |
| EBIT | 866 | 721 | 1,022 | 1,381 | Def Tax Liability | 458 | 400 | 300 | 200 | Change in WC | -760 | -213 | -248 | -499 |
| Interest Expense | 135 | 195 | 147 | 129 | Minority Interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Operating C/F | 263 | 524 | 740 | 785 |
| Other Income | 210 | 244 | 217 | 255 | Account Payables | 796 | 770 | 829 | 961 | Capex | -373 | -309 | -500 | -250 |
| PBT | 941 | 771 | 1,092 | 1,507 | Other Curr Liabi | 89 | 90 | 103 | 121 | Change in Invest | -580 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tax | 334 | 262 | 360 | 497 | Total Liabilities \& Equity | 6,835 | 7,190 | 7,808 | 8,768 | Others | -212 | 59 | 0 | 0 |
| PAT bef. MI \& Assoc. | 607 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 | Net Fixed Assets | 2,912 | 2,934 | 3,178 | 3,154 | Investing C/F | -1,165 | -250 | -500 | -250 |
| Minority Interest | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Capital WIP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Change in Debt | -894 | -40 | -40 | -40 |
| Profit from Assoc. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Others | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | Change in Equity | 2,003 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recurring PAT | 619 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 | Inventory | 1,024 | 1,124 | 1,289 | 1,514 | Others | -190 | -88 | -145 | -160 |
| Extraordinaires | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Account Receivables | 1,343 | 1,331 | 1,487 | 1,747 | Financing C/F | 918 | -128 | -185 | -200 |
| Reported PAT | 619 | 509 | 732 | 1,010 | Other Current Assets | 392 | 492 | 491 | 655 | Net change in cash | 17 | 146 | 55 | 335 |
| FDEPS (Rs) | 24.6 | 20.2 | 29.1 | 40.1 | Cash | 934 | 1,079 | 1,134 | 1,469 | RoE (\%) | 24 \% | 13 \% | 16 \% | 18 \% |
| DPS (Rs) | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | Total Assets | 6,835 | 7,190 | 7,808 | 8,768 | RolC (\%) | 14 \% | 10 \% | 13 \% | 15 \% |
| CEPS (Rs) | 35.7 | 29.3 | 39.3 | 51.0 | Non-cash Working Capital | 1,873 | 2,087 | 2,335 | 2,833 | Core RoIC (\%) | 12 \% | 9 \% | 12 \% | 15 \% |
| FCFPS (Rs) | -35.2 | 16.0 | 13.4 | 24.7 | Cash Conv Cycle | 107.6 | 120.7 | 117.7 | 121.7 | Div Payout (\%) | 0 \% | 6 \% | 6 \% | $6 \%$ |
| BVPS (Rs) | 152.2 | 171.2 | 198.5 | 236.2 | WC Turnover | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | P/E | 16.6 | 20.2 | 14.0 | 10.2 |
| EBITDAM (\%) | 17 \% | 15 \% | 18 \% | 19 \% | FA Turnover | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.7 | P/B | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| PATM (\%) | 10 \% | 8 \% | 10 \% | 12 \% | Net D/E | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | P/FCFF | -11.6 | 25.5 | 30.4 | 16.5 |
| Tax Rate (\%) | 36 \% | 34 \% | 33 \% | 33 \% | Revenue/Capital Employed | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | EV/EBITDA | 10.7 | 11.8 | 8.6 | 6.4 |
| Sales Growth (\%) | 19 \% | -1 \% | 15 \% | 17 \% | Capital Employed/Equity | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | EV/Sales | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| FDEPS Growth (\%) | 19 \% | -18\% | 44 \% | $38 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | Dividend Yield (\%) | 0.1 \% | 0.2 \% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% |
| TTM P/E vs. 2 yr forward EPS growth |  |  |  |  | TTM EV/EBITDA vs. 2 yr forward EBITDA growth |  |  |  |  | TTM P/B vs. 2 yr forward RoE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 50 \% & \\ -45 \% & 22 x \\ =40 \% & 19 x \\ -35 \% & 16 x \\ =30 \% & 13 x \\ -25 \% & 10 x \\ 20 \% & \\ 15 \% & \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | DA Growth |  | $15 x$ <br> $12 x$ <br> $9 x$ <br> $6 x$ <br> $3 x$ |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Historical Consolidated Financials

| P\&L (Rs Mn) | FY14A | FY15A | FY16A | FY17A |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue | 4,521 | 4,758 | 5,328 | 6,357 |
| $\quad$ Op. Expenditure | 3,877 | 4,061 | 4,475 | 5,283 |
| EBITDA | 644 | 697 | 853 | 1,073 |
| Depreciation | 175 | 197 | 201 | 208 |
| EBIT | 469 | 500 | 652 | 866 |
| $\quad$ Interest Expense | 356 | 312 | 253 | 135 |
| Other Income | 12 | 67 | 48 | 210 |
| PBT | 126 | 255 | 448 | 941 |
| Tax | 54 | 150 | 93 | 334 |
| PAT bef. MI \& Assoc. | 72 | 104 | 354 | 607 |
| Minority Interest | 0 | 0 | -2 | -13 |
| Profit from Assoc. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recurring PAT | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 |
| Extraordinaires | 0 | 0 | 169 | 0 |
| Reported PAT | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 |
| EPS (Rs) | 4.3 | 6.2 | 20.8 | 24.6 |
| DPS (Rs) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| CEPS (Rs) | 14.7 | 18.0 | 32.6 | 35.7 |
| FCFPS (Rs) | 38.8 | 53.7 | 14.0 | -35.2 |
| BVPS (Rs) | 63.4 | 79.3 | 74.0 | 152.2 |
| EBITDAM (\%) | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| PATM (\%) | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Tax Rate (\%) | $43 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Sales growth (\%) | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| FDEPS growth (\%) | $128 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $234 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Balance Sheet (Rs Mn) | FY14A | FY15A | FY16A | FY17A |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equity Capital | 168 | 168 | 171 | 252 |
| Reserve | 897 | 1,165 | 1,097 | 3,579 |
| Networth | 1,065 | 1,333 | 1,268 | 3,831 |
| Long Term Debt | 2,944 | 2,493 | 2,592 | 1,660 |
| Def Tax Liability | 172 | 332 | 413 | 458 |
| Minority Interest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Account Payables | 1,060 | 1,192 | 1,168 | 796 |
| Other Curr Liabi | 129 | 123 | 172 | 89 |
| Total Liabilities \& Equity | 5,370 | 5,473 | 5,612 | 6,835 |
| Net Fixed Assets | 2,775 | 2,685 | 2,711 | 2,912 |
| Capital WIP | 0 | 100 | 36 | 0 |
| Others | 213 | 451 | 353 | 230 |
| Inventory | 1,266 | 1,089 | 1,275 | 1,024 |
| Account Receivables | 553 | 762 | 816 | 1,343 |
| Other Current Assets | 413 | 317 | 309 | 392 |
| Cash | 151 | 70 | 113 | 934 |
| Total Assets | 5,370 | 5,473 | 5,612 | 6,835 |
| Non-cash Working Capital | 1,042 | 852 | 1,061 | 1,873 |
| Cash Conv Cycle | 84.1 | 65.3 | 72.6 | 107.6 |
| WC Turnover | 4.3 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 3.4 |
| FA Turnover | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| Net D/E | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Revenue/Capital Employed | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Capital Employed/Equity | 5.9 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Cash Flow (Rs Mn) | FY14A | FY15A | FY16A | FY17A |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PBT | 126 | 255 | 280 | 941 |
| Depreciation | 175 | 197 | 201 | 208 |
| Others | 363 | 287 | 257 | 59 |
| Taxes Paid | 15 | 29 | 60 | 185 |
| Change in WC | -62 | 123 | -358 | -760 |
| Operating C/F | 586 | 833 | 319 | 263 |
| $\quad$ Capex | -65 | -148 | -254 | -373 |
| Change in Invest | 3 | -2 | 2 | -580 |
| Others | -75 | 91 | -28 | -212 |
| Investing C/F | -137 | -59 | -280 | $-1,165$ |
| $\quad$ Change in Debt | -340 | -447 | 61 | -894 |
| Change in Equity | 72 | 0 | 0 | 2,003 |
| Others | -376 | -323 | -260 | -190 |
| Financing C/F | -643 | -770 | -198 | 918 |
| Net change in cash | -194 | 4 | -159 | 17 |
| RoE (\%) | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| RolC (\%) | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Core RoIC (\%) | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Div Payout (\%) | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| P/E | 95.9 | 65.6 | 19.7 | 16.6 |
| P/B | 6.4 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 2.7 |
| P/FCFF | 10.5 | 7.6 | 29.1 | -11.6 |
| EV/EBITDA | 20.8 | 19.2 | 15.9 | 10.7 |
| EV/Sales | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| Dividend Yield (\%) | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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