

## SECTION – VI LEGAL AND OTHER INFORMATION

### OUTSTANDING LITIGATIONS AND MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS

*Except as stated in this Prospectus, there is no outstanding or pending litigation, suit, criminal or civil prosecution, proceeding initiated for offence (irrespective of whether specified in paragraph (I) of Part I of Schedule XIII of the Companies Act) or litigation for tax liabilities against our Company, our Directors or our Promoters or companies promoted by our Promoters and there are no defaults to banks/financial institutions, non-payment of or overdue statutory dues, or dues towards holders of any debentures, bonds and fixed deposits and arrears of preference shares, other unclaimed liabilities of our Company and no disciplinary action has been taken by SEBI or any stock exchanges against our Company, our Promoters or Directors. Further, except as stated in this Prospectus, there are no past cases in which penalties have been imposed on our Company or our Promoters, Directors or companies promoted by the Promoters by concerned authorities, and there is no outstanding litigation against any other company whose outcome could have a material adverse effect on the position of our Company.*

*Neither our Company nor its Promoters, members of the Promoter Group, Subsidiary, associates and Directors have been declared as willful defaulters by the RBI or any other Governmental authority and, except as disclosed in this section in relation to litigation, there are no violations of securities laws committed by them in the past or pending against them.*

#### **PART I – CONTINGENT LIABILITIES OF OUR COMPANY**

For details of Contingent Liability please refer to Section titled “*Financial Information*” Page no 164 of Prospectus.

#### **PART II – LITIGATIONS RELATING TO OUR COMPANY**

##### **A. Cases filed against our Company**

##### **1. Litigation involving Civil Laws**

##### **a) Civil Writ Petition No. 5685/2014 M/s Siddharth Organisation v/s M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd.**

Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. (“Respondent” herein) filed a civil suit for permanent injunction on bearing no. 23/2009 stating and praying to restrain M/s Siddharth Organisations (“Petitioner” herein) from raising any kind of construction over the property of the Respondent and also to demolish the construction made on the property bearing no. B9-D and B-9 D-1, which is the registered office of the Respondent. The Petitioner being aggrieved of, closing of reply/written statement vide order dated 13/05/2013 of the Additional Civil Judge (S.D) and Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate No. 2, Jaipur Metropolitan, Jaipur and also against the impugned order dated 28/01/2014 by which application of Petitioner for taking written statement on record is dismissed, filed Civil writ petition before Hon’ble High Court to quash and set aside the instant order dated 13/05/2013 and order dated 28/01/2014. In relation to the same a stay application was also filed by the Petitioner along with this writ petition in the High Court of Rajasthan Jaipur Bench to stay the proceedings pending before the Civil Judge (S.D) and Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate No.2 Jaipur Mahanagar in Civil Suit No. 23/2009 till the disposal of the instant petition. Presently the petition is pending before High Court of Rajasthan Jaipur Bench and next hearing in the instant matter is on 10/09/2014.

##### **b) Civil Suit No. 33/14 Rambagh Golf Club v/s M/s R N Haritwal & Sons Pvt. Ltd.**

Rambagh Club (“Plaintiff”) filed a civil suit before District and Sessions Judge, Jaipur Metropolitan, Jaipur against 41 entities who are having corporate membership in the club, wherein M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. (“Company”) is Defendant No. 11 alongwith other entities, under Order 7 Rule 1 of CPC, 1908. In the instant suit the Plaintiff had prayed the Hon’ble Court to pass a decree in effect that earlier the corporate memberships in the club had been granted to various entities on the recommendation of Management Committee of Rambagh Club and the same are liable to be rejected as it is inconsistent with the constitution of Rambagh Club and all Defendants must be be entitled to vote in Annual general meeting only not in any other matter. Further, it was also prayed that the Defendants do not have authority to raise objection against proposed amendment, furthermore the plaintiff prayed for perpetual injunction against the Defendants and their agents so that they cannot hinder the working of the Plaintiff and cannot claim facility more than what they are entitled for, under the constitution of the Club. Further, in the instant matter an application under Order 39 Rule 1 &2 of Civil Procedure Code, 1908 has also been filed for interim injunction to restrain the Defendants and their agents from obstructing and disrupting the Extraordinary General Meeting and Special General Meeting called by the Managing Committee in relation to constitutional mandated amendments and claiming any facility beyond their entitlement under the constitution of the club. Notice in relation to same has been received by the company. Presently the matter is pending before Additional District & Session Judge No. 17 for filing of reply on behalf of all the Respondents and next date of hearing in the matter is 22/09/2014.

**2. Litigation involving Criminal Laws**

**a) N.V Pawar, Inspector of Legal Metrology, Borivali Division v/s (1)Mahendra Karnawat (2) Digvijay Dhabriya (3) Ratan Devi Dhabriya (4) M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd.**

Mr. N.V Pawar filed a complaint against the (1) Mahendra Karnawat (2) Digvijay Dhabriya (3) Ratan Devi Dhabriya (4) M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. (“Accused”) before the Court of Metropolitan Magistrate Borivali-26. on 29-12-2011 in contravention of section 18(1),11 RWR 6(1),6(2) of the Legal Metrology Act, 2009 & the Legal Metrology (packaged Commodities) Rules 2011, on the grounds that the name, address of manufacturer, customer care number, price is not printed on the packets of the folding doors which is punishable under 36(1), 29 of Legal Metrology Act, 2009. Also, an exemption application has been filed by the Accused for exempting them from appearance in the matter. At present the matter is pending before the Hon’ble Court of Metropolitan Magistrate Borivali-26 wherein next date of hearing is 30.09.2014.

**3. Litigation involving Securities and Economic Laws**

Nil

**4. Litigation involving Labour Laws**

Nil

**5. Litigation involving Taxation**

**a) Commercial Taxes Officer, Anti Evasion, Rajasthan, Circle III - Jaipur Vs M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd (Appeal No. 248/Appeals I/ RVAT/Jaipur/2012-13**

On September 19, 2013 Deputy Commissioner (Appeals) I Jaipur, Commercial Taxes Department, in the matter of M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. Vs Commercial Taxes Officer, Anti Evasion, Rajasthan, Circle III, Jaipur, passed an order in favour of M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. by which the penalty

of ₹ 35, 03,676/- (Thirty five lakhs Three thousand six hundred and seventy six) under section 61 of the RVAT Act was deleted. Aggrieved by the same, the Commercial Taxes Officer, Anti Evasion, Rajasthan, Circle III, Jaipur filed an appeal dated April 22, 2014 before Rajasthan Tax Board under section 83 of Rajasthan VAT Act, 2003 stating that the impugned order was pass in gross negligence of settled principles of law and the learned Deputy Commissioner (Appeals) I Jaipur, Commercial Taxes Department grossly erred in not considering the speaking and reasoned order passed by the Assessing authority. Further the Appellant claimed that the appeal may be accepted and the order dated 19/09/2013 may be set-aside/quashed and the earlier order passed by the Assessing authority be sustained. Presently the matter is pending before Rajasthan Tax Board.

**b) Commercial Taxes Officer, Anti Evasion, Rajasthan, Circle III - Jaipur Vs M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd (Appeal No. 249/Appeals I/ RVAT/Jaipur/2012-13**

On September 19, 2013 Deputy Commissioner (Appeals) I Jaipur, Commercial Taxes Department, in the matter of M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. Vs Commercial Taxes Officer, Anti Evasion, Rajasthan, Circle III, Jaipur, passed an order in favour of M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. by which the penalty of ₹ 1,17,80,600/- (One Crore Seventeen lakhs Eighty thousand six hundred) under section 61 of the RVAT Act was deleted. Aggrieved by the same, the Commercial Taxes Officer, Anti Evasion, Rajasthan, Circle III, Jaipur filed an appeal dated April 22, 2014 before Rajasthan Tax Board under section 83 of Rajasthan VAT Act, 2003 stating that the impugned order was pass in gross negligence of settled principles of law and the learned Deputy Commissioner (Appeals) I Jaipur, Commercial Taxes Department grossly erred in not considering the speaking and reasoned order passed by the Assessing authority. Further the Appellant claimed that the appeal may be accepted and the order dated 19/09/2013 may be setaside/quashed and the earlier order passed by the Assessing authority be sustained. Presently the matter is pending before Rajasthan Tax Board.

**6. Notices from Statutory Authorities**

**a) Legal Notice Dated- August 8, 2013 issued under section 143(2) of Income Tax Act, 1961 by Asstt. Commissioner of Income Tax Circle-6 Jaipur for assessment year 2012-2013. (Demand Identification Number- 2013201210011541756C)**

M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. (“Company”) received a notice dated August 8, 2013 issued by Asstt. Commissioner of Income Tax Circle-6 Jaipur stating that in relation to the return of income filed by the company on Sept 30, 2102 for the assessment year 2012-13 the authority required further information in relation to the same. The Company was asked to appear before the authority on August 26, 2013 wherein the instant matter was adjourned sine die. The outstanding demand in the instant matter is ₹ 21,520/-

**B. Cases filed by our Company**

**1. Litigation involving Civil Laws**

**a) Case Number 23/2009 – M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd Vs. M/s Nand Fashion & Ors.**

M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd (“Plaintiff”) filed the instant Civil Suit before Additional Civil Judge No. 2, Jaipur City, Jaipur for perpetual injunction and to demolish construction done by M/s Nand Fashion (“Respondent”) on the Plaintiffs. The Plaintiff had purchased the plot bearing No B-9 (D) & B -9(D 1) Malviya Industrial area Jaipur from Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Ltd. (“RIICO”) on May 18, 2004 vide a perpetual Lease Agreement. The Respondent possesses the

adjacent plot of Plaintiff bearing no. B-8 E whereupon the Respondent was constructing a higher wall alongwith beam, of approximately additional four fts., on the Plaintiffs west side wall which is the property of Plaintiff. It is stated by the Plaintiff that such construction was against the rules, regulations and bye laws of RIICO and also the said construction could prove fatal as the wall was also very weak. But the Respondent did not paid any heed to the same and carried on with the construction. Aggrieved by the same, the Plaintiff filed the instant civil suit. In relation to the same, an application for impleadment under Order 1 Rule 10 was filed by the Plaintiff stating that the said plot is now registered on the name of M/S Siddarth Organisation through Proprietor Smt. Sangeeta Kukkar and therefore in the interest of law they should be made party to the instant suit. The Hon'ble Court allowed the instant application and summons were issued to M/S Siddarth Organisation. Further, the opportunity of filing written statement of M/S Siddarth Organisation was closed by the Court on account of non-filing of reply on the last opportunity given. Aggrieved by the same the M/S Siddarth Organisation filed a writ petition in High Court, Jaipur bench to quash the said order. Presently the instant matter is pending before the Additional Civil Judge No. 2, Jaipur City, Jaipur at the stage of arguments wherein next date of hearing is 09.09.2014.

## 2. **Litigation involving Company Laws**

NIL

## 3. **Litigation involving Criminal Laws**

### a) **Complaint No. 172/2008 M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. v/s M/s Star Marketing Agency & Ors.**

Mr. V. Nand Kumar and Mr. V. Armilingam, Partners, M/s Star Marketing Agency, 43, 6<sup>th</sup> Street Akhilmedu Street, Perimilan Complex, Backside Erode 3 (“Accused”) in due course of business had issued a cheque of ₹ 1, 92, 303/- (One Lakh Ninety-two thousand Three hundred and three rupees) in favour of Our Company on July 14, 2006 drawn on Corporation Bank, Erode. Upon Submission the said cheques came dishonored on account of ‘Funds Insufficient’. Pursuant to the same the Complainant served a Legal Notice dated August 22, 2006, through Registered post, and called upon the Accused to furnish the said amount within 15 days of the receipt of the said notice. But as the Accused abstained from doing so, the Company filed a complaint, under Section 142 Negotiable Instruments act, 1881 against the Accused on September 18, 2006 in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate no. 8, Jaipur city, Jaipur wherein it was registered as Complaint No.172/2008. Court took cognizance of the matter and issued summon to the Accused to appear before court but the accused did not appeared before the Court. Taking note of the same the Hon'ble Court issues arrest warrant against the Accused. Later the matter was transferred to Special Metropolitan Magistrate (N.I.Act) No. 6 on 24/07/2013 wherein it was registered as Complaint No.1038/2013. Presently the Complaint is pending before the Court and next date of hearing in the same is 12/09/14.

### b) **Complaint No. 426/2002, 427/2002, 470/2002 and 471/2002 M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. v/s M/s Jit Polycraft**

Mr. Vishvajet Bhattacharya, Proprietor Jit Polycraft, 57A, New Chord Road, Ramnagar, Kankinada, Distt. 24 Parganas) (North) – 743123 (W.B) (“Accused”) had purchased goods of ₹ 1,93,433/- (One lakh ninety three thousand four hundred thirty three) from our Company and for the same four cheques were issued in favour of Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd, our Company (“Complainant”) drawn on United Bank of India, 24 Parganas, West Bengal. But the said cheques got dishonoured on account of ‘Full Cover Not Received’. Aggrieved by the same the Complainant sent a Legal Notice to the Accused on 19/1/2002 to furnish the said amount within 15 days of the receipt of the said notice but the Accused failed to do so. Therefore, the Complainant filed four complaints under Section 142 of Negotiable Instruments act, 1881

bearing no. 426/2002, 427/2002, 470/2002 and 471/2002 against the Accused in the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division) no. 17, Jaipur city, Jaipur. Court took cognizance of the matter and issued summon to the Accused to appear before court but the accused did not appeared before the Court. Taking note of the same the Hon'ble Court issues bailable warrant against the Accused The Accused appeared before the Court and was granted bail after furnishing security of ₹ 5000/- (five thousand). On 28/10/2002 the Hon'ble Court ordered to tag along all the four matters for hearing. Presently the Complaint is pending before the Court and next date of hearing in the same is 21/01/2014.

**c) Complaint No. 456/2003 M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. v/s M/s Divya Sales Corporation & Anr.**

Mr. Kamlesh Kr. Chhangani, Proprietor M/s Divya Sales Corporation, (“Accused”) in due course of business had issued a cheque, of ₹ 2,70,113/- (Rupees Two lakhs seventy thousand one hundred thirteen) in favor of our Company (“Complainant”) drawn on State Bank of India, Jodhpur. But the said cheques got dishonoured on account of ‘Exceeds Arrangement’. Aggrieved by the same the Complainant sent a Legal Notice to the Accused on 25/2/2003 to furnish the said amount within 15 days of the receipt of the said notice but the Accused failed to do so. Therefore, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 142 Negotiable Instruments act, 1881 bearing no. 456/2003 against the Accused in the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) no. 11 , Jaipur city, Jaipur. Court took cognizance of the matter and issued summon to the Accused to appear before the Court but the accused did not appeared before the Court. Taking note of the same the Hon'ble Court issues bailable warrant against the Accused on 07/07/2003. Later the instant matter was transferred to the Court of ACJM-11 Jaipur City Jaipur. Presently the Complaint is pending before the Court and next date of hearing in the same is 17/02/2015.

**4. Litigation involving Securities and Economic Laws**

Nil

**5. Litigation involving Labour Laws**

Nil.

**6. Litigation involving Taxation**

**a) Appeal under Section 246A (ha) of Income –Tax Act, 1961 M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. Vs Asst. Commissioner of Income Tax (TDS), Jaipur**

M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Limited (hereinafter referred to as “Company”) had purchased a plot no. SP 2032 (A) (area-18351.51 Sq. mtr) at Ramchandrapura Industrial Area, Sitapura Ext. Jaipur on vide perpetual lease deed from RIICO (Rajasthan State Industrial Development & Industrial Corporation) on August 25, 2011 in consideration of ₹ 7,10,20,344/- (Seven crores Ten lakhs Twenty thousand three hundred forty four) and in addition to said consideration economic rent of Rs 17,760/- (Seventeen thousand Seven hundred sixty) and security money of ₹ 7,10,203/- (Seven lakhs Ten thousand two hundred three) has been also paid by the Company to RIICO. During financial year 2011-12 & 2012-13 (upto December 14, 2012) the Company had paid ₹ 3,05,39,766/- (Three crores Five lakhs Seven hundred sixty-six) in three instalments to RIICO and but on this amount it failed to deduct TDS of ₹ 30,53,975/- (Thirty lakhs fifty three thousand nine hundred seventy five) which was deductible under section 194(I) of Income Tax Act, 1961 and on this amount interest of ₹ 7, 57,233/- (Seven lakhs Fifty seven thousand two hundred thirty three), under section 201(1A) of Income Tax Act, 1961. In relation to the same Assessing Authority made Order under section 201 (1) & 201 (1A) along with served a notice under section 156 of Income Tax

Act, 1961 on November 28, 2013 and issued a demand of ₹ 38,11,208/- (Thirty eight lakhs eleven thousand two hundred eight) from the Company. Aggrieved by the same, an appeal was filed by the Company on December 27 2013 before Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)-III, Jaipur for reversal of said demand raise by Assessing Authority. On March 05, 2014 Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax passed an order under section 154 of Income Tax Act, 1961 whereby the Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax allowed relief to the Company to reduce the demand of ₹ 21,16,458/- (Twenty one lakhs Sixteen thousand four hundred fifty eight) related to financial year 2011-12 and Rs. 9, 37, 517 (Nine Lakhs thirty seven thousand five hundred seventeen) related to financial year 2012-13 on the ground that RIICO in its return of income included the amount paid by the Company to RIICO in its total income. After the rectification under section 154 of Income Tax Act, 1961 the revised demands for Assessment year 2012-13 and 2013-14 are ₹2,96,304/- (Two lakhs Ninety six thousand three hundred four) and ₹33,374/- (Thirty three thousand Three hundred seventy four) respectively. Further, as per hearing dated 22/05/2014 wherein 50% demand was stayed and balance 50% was directed to be deposited in four quarterly instalment out of total outstanding demand for Financial year 2011-12, which was ₹ 3,11,119/- (Three lakhs Eleven thousand one hundred nineteen) and for Financial year 2012-13 the same was ₹ 35,043/- (thirty five thousand forty three). Out of the same the Company deposited an amount of ₹ 38,890/- (Thirty eight thousand Eight hundred ninety) and ₹ 4380/- (Four thousand Three hundred eighty) in respect of Financial year 2011-12 and Financial year 2012-13 respectively on June 24, 2014. Presently this matter is pending before Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)-III, Jaipur.

### **PART III – LITIGATIONS RELATING TO THE DIRECTORS OTHER THAN PROMOTERS OF THE COMPANY**

#### **A. Cases filed against the Directors**

##### **1. Litigation involving Civil/Statutory Laws**

Nil

##### **2. Litigation involving Criminal Laws**

Nil

##### **3. Litigation involving Economic Offenses**

Nil

##### **4. Litigation involving Tax Liabilities**

Nil

#### **B. Cases filed by the Directors**

##### **1. Litigation involving Civil/Statutory Laws**

Nil

##### **2. Litigation involving Criminal Laws**

Nil

### 3. Litigation involving Economic Offenses

Nil

### 4. Litigation involving Tax Liabilities

Nil

## PART IV – LITIGATIONS RELATING TO OUR PROMOTER AND PROMOTER GROUP ENTITIES

### A. Cases filed against the Promoter and Promoter Group entities

#### 1. Litigation involving Civil Laws

##### a) Civil Suit No. 39/2011 Smt. Farida Bano Vs. Nasru Khan & Others

Smt. Farida Bano (“Plaintiff”) filed a civil suit before the Court of District & Session Judge, Jaipur Metropolitan, Jaipur against (1) Mr Nasru Khan, (2) Chuttan (3) Babu (4) Mehraj (5) Fayyaz (6) Digvijay Singh Dhabriya (7) Tehsildar Sanganer Jaipur, (8) Sub Registrar of Assurances Sanganer Jaipur (“all (1) to (8) Defendants”) claiming perpetual injunction, declaration of title and mesne profits from the Defendants. It is stated by the Plaintiff in her suit that she is the owner of land bearing Khasara No 205 and 204/327 admeasuring 0.10 hectare & .05 hectares respectively and adjoining this land there are various other residential land which includes lands of her husband Mr. Moinuddin and of Defendant No (1) to (6). The instant land was purchased by the Plaintiff as they were adjoining her husband’s land. That in the absence of her husband, Defendant no. (1) to (5) took her signatures on some blank paper on the pretext of division of their lands by meets and bonds as Plaintiff’s and Defendant’s lands are adjoining. The Plaintiff signed the same but was later flabbergasted to see that the report of takasma and the annexed map are not consistent with each other whereby area of her land was reduced to 0.15 hectares from 0.1546 hectares. Further in khasra no.201 no ownership of the plaintiff was shown while in map the ownership of the Plaintiff was shown in red colour. It has been alleged by the Plaintiff that the Defendant No. (1) to (6) have connivingly deprived her of her land admeasuring .02 hectare from khasra no.204/327 by constructing walls on her land. It has also been alleged by the Plaintiff that Defendant No 7 & 8 are in pressure of defendant No 1- 6 and are in hand in hand to deprive her from her rightful interest. Further the Defendant No1-6 have threatened her and are trying to sell/transfer the instant land to other persons, thereby causing great loss to her. Further the Plaintiff has also filed a stay application under Order 39 Rule 2 for stay on such transfer/sale of the instant land claimed to be, of the Plaintiff. In reply to the same an application under Order 7 Rule 11 was filed by Defendant no. 6 requesting rejection of the plaint on the ground of Hon’ble Court having no jurisdiction to hear the same. Also the Plaintiff had filed reply of this application rejecting the statements made by the Defendant No. 6. Presently the matter is pending before instant Court at the stage of farming of issues and the next date of hearing in the matter is 28.10.2014.

#### 2. Litigation involving Criminal Laws

##### a) N.V Pawar, Inspector of Legal Metrology, Borivali Division v/s (1)Mahendra Karnawat (2) Digvijay Dhabriya (3) Ratan Devi Dhabriya (4) M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd.

Mr. N.V Pawar filed a complaint against the (1) Mahendra Karnawat (2) Digvijay Dhabriya (3) Ratan Devi Dhabriya (4) M/s Dhabriya Agglomerates Pvt. Ltd. (“Accused”) before the Court of Metropolitan Magistrate Borivali-26. on 29-12-2011 in contravention of section 18(1), 11 RWR 6(1), 6(2) of the Legal Metrology Act, 2009 & the Legal Metrology (packaged Commodities) Rules 2011, on the grounds that the

name, address of manufacturer, customer care number, price is not printed on the packets of the folding doors which is punishable under 36(1), 29 of Legal Metrology Act, 2009. Also, an exemption application has been filed by the Accused for exempting them from appearance in the matter. At present the matter is pending before the Hon'ble Court of Metropolitan Magistrate Borivali-26 wherein next date of hearing is 30.09.2014.

**3. Litigation involving Economic Offenses**

Nil

**4. Notices from Statutory Authorities**

**a) Demand Identification Number- 2009200351041153336T- Ms. Anita Dhabriya**

A Demand notice was issued under section 143(1) of Income Tax Act, 1961 for Assessment year 2003-04 by which demand of ₹ 88,712/- (Eighty eight thousand seven hundred twelve) was made by the income tax authority. On July 10, 2006 income tax officer intimated Ms. Anita Dhabriya ("Assesse") about outstanding tax demand of ₹ 88,712/- (Eighty eight thousand seven hundred twelve). In relation to the same on November 8, 2011 Assesse requested Assessing Officer Circle-1 Jaipur to clear outstanding demand and intimated him that rectification application under section 154 of Income Tax Act, 1961 has already been filed by the Assesse on July 21, 2006. At present the matter is pending before Assessment Officer.

**5. Cases filed by the Promoter and Promoter Group entities**

**1. Litigation involving Criminal Laws**

NIL

**2. Litigation involving Securities and Economic Laws**

Nil

**3. Litigation involving Labour Laws**

Nil.

**4. Litigation involving Taxation**

NIL

**PART V – DETAILS AMOUNTS OWED TO SMALL SCALE UNDERTAKINGS AND OTHER CREDITORS TO WHOM THE ISSUER OWES A SUM EXCEEDING ₹ ONE LAKH WHICH ARE OUTSTANDING MORE THAN 30 DAYS**

The Company has not received any information from its supplier regarding their registration under the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise Development Act 2006 hence the information required to be disclosed under section 22 of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise Development Act, 2006 i.e. amount owed to creditor including small scale undertaking which is outstanding for more than 30 days as on March 31, 2014 can not be determined.