

BOMBAY HIGHCOURT OFFICER CAUSELIST CAUSELIST For Wednesday The 17th April 2024 COURT NO. 0 SINGLE AT 11:30 AM SHRI H.M.BHOSALE, REGISTRAR(JUDL I)

HEADER NOTE :

The following Writ Petitions (sr. no. 1 to 42) were notified on 06/03/2024 and 07/03/2024 for removal of office o bjections within 14 days in which office objections are not removed till date. (Sr. No. 43 to 54) are listed for 2nd Time, for necessary orders as Office Objections are not removed till date.

Sr.	CASE NO.	PARTIES	ADVOCATES
		FOR ORDERS (OBJECTION NOT REM	OVED)
1	WP(ST)/9571/2023 [Civil]	KAKU POPAT WAGASKAR VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	SachinDeokar
	MARK : Objection :- 1) As py by Advocate. (Ist time)	per practice Note 41, Clause 12 of Checklis	st Annexures are not certified as True
2	WP(ST)/13419/2023 [Civil]	PARUBAI SOPAN WALHEKAR DECD. THR. LHRS DATTATRAYA JANAKRAO WALHEKAR AND ORS VS STATE OF MAHA. THR. MINISTRY OF REVENUE AND FOREST AND ORS	PRAMOD KALE
veri	ified by Advocate. 3) Photo	alatnama is filed but petitioners signature s ocopy annexed are not legible- page no. 22 page no. 19. 5) Page underlines - Page nos.	to 33, 36 to 39 , 43, 51, 53 to 56. 4)
3	WP(ST)/13646/2023 [Civil]	LATE VAKOJI DADU PATIL DECD. THR. LHRS SMT. DHONDUBAI VAKOJI PATIL AND ORS VS	PRASHANT BHAVAKE

REMARK : Objection : 1) Handwritten pages are not typed- page no. 47-48, 51-52. 2) photocopies annexed are not legible - page no. 46, 55. 3) Page not readable - page no.s 62, 63. (Ist time)

STATE OF MAHA. THR. ADDI. CHIEF

4 WP(ST)/13668/2023 RAMAKANT FAKIRA NAGARE AND SANDESH DESHPANDE [Civil] ANR VS BANK OF INDIA AND ORS

SEC. AND ORS

REMARK : Objection : 1) Photocopies annexed are not legible - Page no. 54 to 57. 2) Typed copy of Handwritten page at Exhibit 'C' not annexed. (Ist time)

5	WP(ST)/13736/2023	HANUMANT BABURAO BADHALE	P H Potnis
	[Civil]	VS	
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE	
		PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection : 1) Pages not readable - Exhibit 'B' (font size small). (Ist time)

6	WP(ST)/13745/2023 [Civil]	EDELWEISS HSG FINANCE LTD. THR ITS AUTHORIZED VS	SACHIN KULKARNI
		SOMNATH DNYANDEO MANE AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection : 1) Vakalatnama filed , however copy of Board Resolution granting authority is not annexed by the petitioner. 2) Photocopies annexed are not legible. - Annexure - 1. (Ist time)

VS TAHSILDAR - 1 (SPECIAL CELL) AND ORS	7	WP(ST)/13756/2023 [Civil]	TAHSILDAR - 1 (SPECIAL CELL) AND	Archana Pandharinath Gaikwa
---	---	------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------

REMARK : Objection :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed- Exhibit-F. (Ist time)

8	WP(ST)/17376/2023	VASANT MAYA MOHITE AND ANR	White And Brief Advocates And
	[Civil]	VS	Solicitors
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR	
		ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY URBAN	
		DEVELOPMENT DEPT. AND ANR	

REMARK : Objections. 1) On Vakalatnama name of petitioner not mentioned as per practice not 53. 2) Age of petitioner No. 2 mentioned in memo title. 3) The photo copy annexed are not legible Ex;- pages No's. 39,40, 41, 42, 45 to 50, 53, 54 to 59, 62, 66, 67, 77, 88 & 101. (Ist time)

9	WP(ST)/17624/2023	KRISHNA NAGARI A AND B CO.OP	RASHMI PARMAR
	[Civil]	HSG. SOCIETY LTD.	A V JAIN
		VS	
		DISTRICT DEPUTY REGISTRAR, CO-	
		OPERATIVE SOCIETY MUMBAI (4)	
		AND ORS	
		AND UNS	

REMARK : Objections :- 1) That the papers are not paginated/not correctly paginated- Page Nos. 60, 61, 222 (missing). 2) That the Vakalatnama is filed but Authority letter/Board Resolution not attached. 3) Pages not tagged properly- Page Nos. 54 to 59, 103 to 107, 130 to 135. 4) Margin not proper- Page Nos. 19 to 41 (odd pages). 5) Photocopies annexed are not legible- Page Nos. 121, 124. 6) Pages not readable, Font size small-Page Nos. 120, 126 to 128, 132. 7) Handwritten page not typed- Page No. 129. (Ist time)

WP(ST)/17644/2023	LILABAI KERBA NIVANGUNE DECD	SachinDeokar
[Civil]	THRU LHRS	
	VS	
	THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
	ORS	
		[Civil] THRU LHRS VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND

REMARK : Objections :- 1) As per Practice Note 41, Clause 12 of Checklist Annexures are not certified as True Copy by Advocate. 2) Pages not readable, Font size small- Page Nos. 36 to 59, 93. 3) Pages not tagged properly-Page Nos. 36 to 68, 93. (Ist time)

SachinDeokar

11 WP(ST)/17645/2023 SURESH DNYANOBA SONAR [Civil] (DIKSHIT) VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

REMARK : Objections :- 1) That the papers are not paginated. Index last page is 114. In I(i) set after page No. 114 Exhibit-J attached with page Nos. 105 to 108. 2) That the Vakalatnama is filed but PA copy not legible. 3) As per Practice Note 41, Clause 12 of Checklist Annexures are not certified as True Copy by Advocate. 4) Pages not readable, Font size small- Page Nos. 36 to 59, 100. 5) Page not tagged properly- Page Nos. 36 to 68, 100. (Ist time)

12 WP(ST)/17735/2023 MALAYCHANDRA BISWAS KUNAL KANUNGO [Civil] VS BANK OF MAHARASHTRA THR CHIEF MANAGER WILFUL DEFAULT CELL AND ANR

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Pages not readable. Pg. Nos. 32 to 49, 73 to 91. 2) As per practice Note 41, Clause 12 of Checklist Annexures are not certified as True Copy by Advocate. 3) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. No. 139, 204 to 209, Exhibit. G. 4) Pages not readable. Pg. Nos. 52 to 54. (Ist time)

13 WP(ST)/17740/2023 SHARADA POPAT RODE ALIAS Ashwini Bandiwadekar [Civil] SHARADA DADU BHALEKAR AND **GP WRIT CELL** ANR GP WRIT CELL VS THE STATE OF MAHARAHSTRA THR THE SECRETARY SCHOOL EDUCATION DEPT. AND ORS

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Letter font small. Page Nos. 22 to 25. 2) Photocopy annexed is not legible- Page No. 27. (Ist time)

14 WP(ST)/17861/2023 NIKHIL ENTERPRISES AND ANR Prism legal [Civil] VS MODAL COOPRATIVE BANK LTD

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. No.73. 2) Font size small. Pg. No. 52. (Ist time)

15 WP(ST)/17905/2023 SHASHIKANT DAGA BHAMRE ROKADE NARAYAN GOPINATH [Civil] VS TAHSILDAR MALEGAON AND ORS

REMARK : Objections :- 1) As per Practice Note 41, Clause 12 of Checklist Annexures are not certified as True Copy by Advocate. 2) Registered document dtd. 27/02/2023 mentioned in prayer is not found in the proceeding. 3) Photocopies annexed are not legible- Page Nos. 16, 17, 31. 4) Page not placed properly- Page No. 32. (Ist time)

16	WP(ST)/18122/2023	S. B. BHANDARE	JAGDISH ARADWAD REDDY
	[Civil]	VS	GP WRIT CELL
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THRU	GP WRIT CELL
		THE PRIN. SECRETARY, SOCIAL	
		WELFARE AND SPECIAL	
		ASSISTANCE DEPT. AND ORS	

P WRIT CELL

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed. pg. Nos. 43 to 49, 91. 2) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. Nos. 38 to 41, 57, 63, 71, 72, 73, 88, 90, 109, 120. (Ist time)

17 WP(ST)/19495/2023 SUSHILKUMAR BRAMHANATH Mandar G Bagkar Mandar G Bagkar [Civil] SHINDE AND VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DEPT. OF EDUCATION AND ANR REMARK : Obj : 1) Memo P. No. 8 is missing, (not mention, not file). (Ist time) WP(ST)/20061/2023 PRASHANT BHAVAKE 18 MANDAR MANGESH TENDOLKAR [Civil] VS THE STATE OF MAHARSHTRA THR SECRETARY REMARK : Sub : 1) A hand writen page not typed by Adv. for petitioner PG. No. 42,56. 2) The Xerox copies annexed are not legible. Pg.No. 24 to 27,28,29,34,39,40,49, 50 to 55, 58,60,61. (Ist time) 19 WP(ST)/20127/2023 DATTATRAYA VITTAL DABHADE AND Satish S Raut [Civil] ANR VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE GOVERNMENT PLEADER AND ORS REMARK : Sub : 1) That the requisite Court fee is not pail. Rs. 125/- . (Ist time) 20 SOMNATH BABARAO DEORE AND WP(ST)/20131/2023 I M KHAIRDI ORS [Civil] VS NASHIK DIST. CENTRAL CO OP BANK LTD. THR ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REMARK : Objections: 1. That the requisite court fees Rs. 3000/- short. (Ist time) 21 WP(ST)/20213/2023 ROHIDAS BAJIRAO SHIVALE Sumit V Khaire VS [Civil] THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR SECRETARY AND ORS REMARK : Objections: 1. The xerox copies annexed are not legible Page Nos. 28,29,30,31,32,34. (Ist time) 22 WP(ST)/21587/2023 O R Tiwari SMT ROSY ALEX FERNANDES [Civil] VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR AND ORS REMARK : Obj : 1) Page No. 43 is not tagged properly. 2) The Photo copies annexed are not legible. Page No. 43, 59, 60. (Ist time) 23 WP(ST)/21682/2023 SATISH BALKRISHNA MARDANE Rahul Walvekar [Civil] VS DISTRICT CASTE VERIFICATION COMMITTEE, KOLHAPUR AND ORS

REMARK : Objections: 1. Handwritten page nos. 77 to 79, 87, 88 are not typed copy. (Ist time)

24 WP(ST)/21731/2023 PRATHMESH S/O DIPAK GAIKWAD [Civil] U/G OF FATHER DIPAK S/O MARUTI GAIKWAD VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA , DEPT. OF TRIBAL DEVELOPMENT AND ANR REMARK : Obj : 1) Hand written pages not typed - 27,28,47,48,59,60. 2) The Xerox copies annexed are not legible. Pg.No. - 31,34,36,40,44 to 46, 69,70. 3) Pages not readable. Page No. 69. (Ist time)

25 WP(ST)/24021/2023 MAYA AJIT BENDRE AND ANR KASHYAP SHIRISH BHALERAO [Civil] VS THE EDUCATION OFFICER (SECONDARY) AND ANR

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Photocopy annexed not legible. Pg. No. 15. 2) Blank portion are not fill up. Pg. No. 10. (Ist time)

26 WP(ST)/24259/2023 MAYURI RAM KOLI V. A. MADANE [Civil] VS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR ITS SERETARY, TRIBAL DEVELOPMENT DEP. AND ORS

REMARK : Objection : 1) Hand written pages not found - page no 36 to 44 & 55. (Ist time)

27 WP(ST)/24623/2023 PRESIDENT SHIVDATTA OAK JITENDRA HANUMANT [Civil] DNYANPRASARAK MANDAL THR SAGAR A MANE SAINATH LAXMAN MHATRE VS NEELAM RAJARM GHODVINDE AND ORS

REMARK : Objections: 1. Order dtd. 23/03/2023 mentioned in prayer clause 'a' not filed. 2. The photo copies annexed are not legible, page nos. 43,44. 3. Pages underlines, page nos. 47,48. (Ist time)

28 WP(ST)/24872/2023 SHRI AMOL SAMBHAJI MORE PRASHANT BHAVAKE [Civil] VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE SECRTARY SCHOOL EDUCATION AND SPORTS AND ORS

REMARK : Objections: 1. The xerox copy are annexed are not legible Page Nos. 32 to 35, 101, 135 to 137. (Ist time)

29	WP(ST)/24874/2023	SHRI ANNASAHEB BABASAHEB	PRASHANT BHAVAKE
	[Civil]	PATIL	
		VS	
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR	
		THE SECRTARY SCHOOL	
		EDUCATION AND SPORTS AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections: 1. Handwritten page nos. 28 is not typed copy. 2. The xerox copy are annexed are not legible, page no. 68. (Ist time)

30 WP(ST)/24898/2023 SANTOSH MARUTI CHAVAN SATYAJEET A RAJESHIRKE [Civil] VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

REMARK : Objections: 1. Page Nos. 1,9,24,46,55,61,65,67,69,70,79,84,100,101,104,106 are not tagged properly. 2. Letter font size small, page nos. 49, 67. 3. The xerox copy are annexed are not legible, page nos. 43 to 45. (Ist time)

ANVIL S KALEKAR

WP(ST)/24914/2023 SHIVRAJ SIDHARAM FUNDIPALLE [Civil] AND ANR VS SAYABANNA SHIVNIGAPPA FUNDIPHALLI

31

REMARK : Objection: 1. Handwritten Order dtd. 08/08/2023 on page nos. 31 is not typed copy. (Ist time)

32	WP(ST)/25025/2023	MR. NILESH JAYRAM SHINDE	VAGANGAL
	[Civil]	VS	Pradnya
		THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection : 1) Synopsis filed is not as per practice note 51. 2) Photo copy annexed is not legible. Page no. 30. (Ist time)

33	WP(ST)/25046/2023	MRS. VASANTI VILAS SATAM	VAGANGAL
	[Civil]	VS	
		THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection : 1)pages are not tagged properly - page no. 33 to 35. 2) Index / synopsis filed is not as per practice note 51. 3) photo copy annexed is not legible- page no. 29. (Ist time)

34	WP(ST)/25478/2023	VARSHA SABHARAM UPADHYAY	C K Tripathi
	[Civil]	VS	
		THE STATE OF MAHARSAHTRA AND	
		ORS	

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Index/Synopsis have been filed, but date not mentioned in Index. 2) Grounds not mentioned./ Declaration under Rule 1 Ch XVII of BHCAS Rules, 1860 is not given. 3) Photocopies annexed are not legible- Page No. 28, 43, 50. 4) Pages underline/marking- Page No. 41, 42, 45. (Ist time)

35	WP(ST)/26274/2023	SUBHASH BHAGWANTRAO	SachinDeokar
	[Civil]	DHAMDHERE	
		VS	
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND	
		ORS	

REMARK : Obj : 1) The xerox copies annexed are not legible. Page no. 34,35,36,41 to 51 to 69, 88. 2) Pages not tagged properly. Page no. 40. 3) As per practice note 41, clause 12 of checklist Exhibits not attested as True copy. (Ist time)

36	WP(ST)/28650/2023	MANJUSHRI SANJAY BHAGWAT	S C Mangle
	[Civil]	VS	
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections : 1) Blank portion are not fill up at page no. 11. (Ist time)

37	WP(ST)/28680/2023	SUNITA SHAMMI KATARIA	KRTIWARI
	[Civil]	VS	
		SANJIV M. JHANGIANI DELETED	
		SINCE DECD.	

REMARK : Objections : 1) Photo copies are not legible at page no. 73, 83, 97, 98. 2) Pages not type properly at page no. 44, 45. 3) Written page not type properly at 45, 47, 49, 50, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 94 to 128. 4) Pages underline. Page No. 19. (Ist time)

38	WP(ST)/28710/2023	RAMDAS BHIMAJI SHINDE	SachinDeokar
	[Civil]	VS	
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR	
		GOV.PLEDER AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections : 1) Photo copies are not legible at page no. 34, 35, 66, 89, 91. (Ist time)

39 WP(ST)/28712/2023 PANDHARINATH DAMU BHUJBAL SachinDeokar [Civil] VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR. G.P. AND ORS

REMARK : Objections : 1) Photo copies are not legible at page no. 54, 55, 62. 2) Pages underlines at page no. 108, 113, 126. (Ist time)

40	WP(ST)/28714/2023 [Civil]	KARBHARI SOMA BHUJBAL VS	SachinDeokar
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR. G.P. AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections : 1) Page no. 38, 38A not tagged properly. 2) Photo copies are not legible at page nos. 50, 86, 88, 90, 117, 120. 3) Pages underlines at page no. 122. (Ist time)

41	WP(ST)/28715/2023	ANANDRAO RAMRAO DHAMDHERE	SachinDeokar
	[Civil]	VS	
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR.	
		G.P. AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections : 1) As per practice note 41, Clause -12 of check list all exhibits not attested. (Ist time)

42	WP(ST)/28810/2023	SWAROOPA SACHIN PATIL	Ashwini Bandiwadekar
	[Civil]	VS	GP WRIT CELL
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR	GP WRIT CELL
		THE SECRETARY AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections : 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible at Exh."A". (Ist time)

FOR ORDERS (REGULAR)

43	PIL(ST)/13824/2023	JITEN TANAJI BHOIR	VISHAL PATIL
	[Civil]	VS	Raju Suryawanshi
		THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR	Kalpesh J Nansi
		MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND	
		ORS	

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed. Pg. Nos. 25 to 28, 32, 38 to 51, 77. 2) Photocopy of Pan Card of Petitioner is not filed by Advocate. 3) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. Nos. 21, 23, 24, 38 to 51, 58, 19, 67, 68, 78, 79. (2nd time)

44	WP(ST)/16977/2023 [Civil]	YAMUNABAI PANDU SATHE AND ORS VS SHAIKH LATIF REHMAN HAFFIZUR REHMAN MULLA DECD. THR. LHRS JUBEDA LATIF REHMAN SHAIKH AND ORS	ASHOK SAHEBRAO DHEMARE

REMARK : Objections: 1). The photo copies annexed are not legible at page nos. 27 to 29. (2nd time)

45	WP(ST)/17355/2023 [Civil]	SHRI DHULLAPPA BABANNA MADHKARI SINCE DECD. THR LRS VS	ADITYA S RAKTADE
		DUNDAPPA RAMA MADKARI SINCE DECD. THR LRS AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed. Pg. Nos. 28 to 40, 46,47, 90, 93. 2) Light Print. Exh. D. 3) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. Nos. 71, 85. (2nd time)

46	WP(ST)/25658/2023	MR. ROSHAN RAJENDRA JADHAV	VAGANGAL
	[Civil]	VS	Pradnya
		THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection. 1) Index/ synopsis have been filled but synopsis not filled as per practice note 51. (2nd time)

47	WP(ST)/25663/2023	MRS. BHAGYASHREE RANE	VAGANGAL
	[Civil]	VS	
		THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection. 1) Index / synopsis have been filed. but synopsis is not filed as per practice note. 51. 2) The photo copies annexed are not legible. Page No. 31, 32. 3) Exhibit 'C' not attested by advocate as per practice note 41. (2nd time)

48	WP(ST)/26813/2023	SUSHIL RAMESH JADHAV	seema Chopda
	[Civil]	VS	
		TIME TECHNOPLAST LTD. AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed. Pg. Nos. 83, 84, 88 to 92. (2nd time)

49	WP(ST)/26814/2023	SHIRISH SITARAM GURAV	seema Chopda
	[Civil]	VS	
		TIME TECHNOPLAST LTD. AND ORS	

REMARK : Objection :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed. Pg. Nos. 85, 86, 90 to 93. (2nd time)

50	WP(ST)/26816/2023	SADANAND LAXMAN KADU	seema Chopda
	[Civil]	VS	
		TIME TECHNOPLAST LTD. AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed. Pg. Nos. 86,87, 90, 91. 2) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. Nos. 90, 91. 3) Blank portion are not fill up. Pg. No. 26. (2nd time)

51 WP(ST)/26822/2023 CHETAN TANAJI SONAWALE seema Chopda [Civil] VS TIME TECHNOPLAST LTD AND ORS

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Handwritten pages not typed. Pg. Nos. 83,84, 88 to 90. 2) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. Nos. 88 to 90. 3) Blank portion not fill up. Pg. No. 26. (2nd time)

WP(ST)/26915/2023	SHRI. BHIKAJI SHIVAJI PATIL	PRASHANT BHAVAKE
[Civil]	VS	
	THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	
	THROUGH THE SECRETARY,	
	SCHOOL EDU. AND SPORTS DEPT.	
	AND ORS	
		[Civil] VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY, SCHOOL EDU. AND SPORTS DEPT.

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Photocopies annexed are not legible- Page Nos. 50 to 52, 55, 56, 63 to 66, 72, 73, 79, 84, 121. 2) Pages not readable (small Font)- Page Nos. 117, 118. (2nd time)

53	WP(ST)/28074/2023 [Civil]	SHRI. MARUTI NIVRUTTI JADHAV VS THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY, SCHOOL EDU. AND SPORTS DEPT.	PRASHANT BHAVAKE
		AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections :- 1) Synopsis is not proper format as per Practice Note No. 51. 2) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. Nos. 13 to 15, 31 to 37. (2nd time)

54	WP(ST)/29059/2023	NILESH BABAN GAVALI AND ORS	Sidheshwar Biradar
	[Civil]	VS	
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA	
		THROUGH ITS SECRETARY, SCHOOL	
		EDU. AND SPORTS DEPT. AND ORS	

REMARK : Objections :- 1) The Vakalatnama is filed as Per Practice Note No. 53, Rs. 30/- Court Fees Short. 2) Photocopies annexed are not legible. Pg. Nos. 37, 124. 3) Page Underline. Pg. No. 35. (2nd time)